

## Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Carn Fearna Wind Farm V2.0

Our Reference: WPAC 065/24 Your Reference: Carn Fearna EIA

Authors: Commander John Taylor, RN (Ret) Squadron Leader Mike Hale MBE MSc CFS RAF (Ret) Light Modelling, GIS and Mapping – Sam Taylor BA (Hons) MSc

Wind Power Aviation Consultants Ltd, Company No.: 6811887 e-mail: <u>enquiries@wpac.co.uk</u> Tel.: +44 (0)2380767345 Mob.: +44 (0)7949234440 <u>www.wpac.co.uk</u>

The information contained within this document (including all graphs, maps, tables, images and photographs) is, and remains, the copyright of Wind Power Aviation Consultants Ltd ©2024, and may not be reproduced by any means, without the explicit written permission of Wind Power Aviation Consultants Ltd.

Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Carn Fearna Wind Farm V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/065/24 Date: 06/01/25

#### **Reference Documents**

- A. Civil Aviation Publication (CAP) 764 Civil Aviation Authority (CAA) Policy and Guidance on Wind Turbines Version 6, Feb 2016
- B. CAP 764 Version 7 (Draft) issued for comment in June 2020
- C. CAP 764 Version 7 (Draft) issued for comment in April 2024
- D. Air Navigation Order (ANO) Article 222
- E. CAA Policy Statement: Lighting of Onshore Wind Turbine Generators in the United Kingdom with a maximum blade tip height at or in excess of 150m Above Ground Level dated 01/06/17
- F. NatureScot Guidance on Aviation Lighting Impact Assessment dated November 2024
- G. International Civil Aviation Organisation (ICAO) Annex 14 Vol 1 Chapter 6

#### Scope

1. This report is divided into two parts. Part 1 proposes a lighting design that is compliant with existing and draft (but soon to be ratified) regulations and guidance contained within References A to D and F as discussed with the CAA and the MOD. It explains the rationale behind the lighting design taking into account the requirement to minimise the number of turbines illuminated with aviation obstruction lights whilst maintaining flight safety and provides a detailed assessment of the brilliance of the lighting when viewed from a number of viewpoints provided by the LVIA consultant after consultation with the relevant stakeholders including NatureScot and the Local Planning Authority. Part 2 of the report identifies and explains those mitigation measures that can be utilised to minimise the environmental effect of the lights including an assessment of the historical meteorological data from which to predict the luminous intensity requirements for the lights. The entire report can be considered to fulfil the requirements for an Aviation Lighting Landscape and Visual Impact Mitigation Plan and can be used to inform the Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment as required under GLVIA3..

## Part 1 Turbine Lighting Layout Design

## Introduction

2. WPAC have designed a large number of CAA and MOD compliant lighting layouts for wind farms and are also in constant dialogue with the CAA regarding the proposed changes to CAP 764 in terms of aviation lighting requirements. Whilst Reference A is technically the current publication for policy and guidance on this issue, Reference B was released for comment and is already being used by the CAA as the current de facto policy. Reference C is also currently out for consultation with all aviation stakeholders but the proposed release date has passed and the CAA have yet to publish a new release date. Discussions with the CAA have clarified that the draft regulations at Reference B will not be changing in terms of the overarching policy but the wording may be slightly amended in the interests of clarity



Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Carn Fearna Wind Farm V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/065/24 Date: 06/01/25

## Lighting Layout Starting Point and Assumptions

3. Statkraft is proposing a nine-turbine wind farm, named Carn Fearna located 12km WNW of Dingwall in the county of Ross & Cromarty in the Scottish Highlands. The turbines will be situated on the hill of the same name overlooking the A835 valley at Loch Garvie.

4. From a civilian aviation perspective, the Carn Fearna site is located in Class G airspace designated by the CAA as open-unrestricted airspace. Conversely, from a military perspective, the turbines will be located in Low Flying Area (LFA) 14; the busiest LFAs in the UK. Fortunately, the Carn Fearna turbines will be located just outside Tactical Training Area (TTA) 20 where military fast jet aircraft operate down to 100ft (30m) day and night. In the hours of darkness (evening civil twilight) this area converts to Night Allocated Region (NAR) 1BE. Although primarily a fast jet training area, the airspace is also used by MOD and NATO tactical transport aircraft and helicopters for day and night training

5. In addition, this area will be frequented by CSAR, Police, HEMs and Air Ambulance helicopters (Some operating from Inverness) as well as military craft by day and night. This type of activity will dictate that the site will require both visible red and infra-red obstruction lighting on its turbines.

#### Lighting Assessment Overview

- Carn Fearna will be assessed as below/in Class G 'en route' airspace insofar as visible obstruction lighting is concerned.
- Local airspace constraints will be considered for their potential impact on the site.
- Expected CAA and MOD dispensations will be assessed for the site.
- The visible lighting component of the lighting proposal will be developed in accordance with the latest (still draft) CAA CAP 764.
- To accommodate MOD requirements, and other lower airspace night operators, the site will be assessed for Night Vision Equipment compatible lighting in accordance with MOD published obstruction lighting specifications.
- Where possible, the recommended lighting configuration will be optimised to reduce light impact on the local area.
- The Carn Fearna wind farm proposal is for nine turbines at 180 and 200m to tip.



Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Carn Fearna Wind Farm V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/065/24 Date: 06/01/25




Figure 1 Carn Fearna on an aviation chart




Figure 2 Carn Fearna Wind Farm




Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Carn Fearna Wind Farm V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/065/24 Date: 06/01/25

#### CAA-ANO Red 2000/200cd Lighting (In compliance with CAA CAP 764 - Draft)

- 6. The CAA requires:
  - That all perimeter turbines be lit unless removing a light will leave a gap of less than 900m total between the remaining lit turbines (This distance is negotiable/extendable by application to the CAA).
  - That any turbine within 200m of the perimeter be lit unless the distance between adjacent turbines is less than 900m total (Again, this distance/requirement is negotiable by application to the CAA).
  - That any unlit turbine does not exceed a 10° up-slope from adjacent lit turbines. All perimeter turbines are lit and conform to this requirement.

Applying these criteria, <u>without expected CAA dispensations</u>, dictates that eight turbines will require ANO visible red lighting.



Turbines with 2000/200cd Lights: T1, T2, T4, T5, T6, T7, T8 and T9

Figure 3 Lighting Layout without CAA Dispensations




Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Carn Fearna Wind Farm V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/065/24 Date: 06/01/25

#### CAA-ANO Red 2000/200cd Lighting – Reduced and Balanced Option

7. The military have operated at low level at night for many decades now using night vision equipment. In more recent times, an increasing number of civilian operators have moved to night low level using suitable night vision equipment: night vision goggles (NVGs) etc. Such civilian operators include Coast Guard (CSAR), Police, Helicopter Emergency Medical Services (HEMS) and Air Ambulance. Although, in the past, some night operators would fly at night at low level without night equipment (on carefully pre-planned exercises pre-flown by day) such events have been overtaken by the ever-widening use of night vision equipment. As a result, operators who now night fly without night vision equipment will fly at or above 'safety altitude' when not under the guidance of Air Traffic Control.

8. When aircraft are operating at safety altitude or above, depending upon the protocol adopted or phase of flight, the safety altitude used will be 1000ft (300m), 1500ft (450m) or 2000ft (600m) above the local terrain/highest obstacle including turbine tip heights. Aircraft/helicopters flying as such, will only need enough visible lights to define the wind farm and its size/shape/perimeter.

9. Accordingly, the regular outline of the Carn Fearna turbine site could be identified with four visible red lights on turbines T1, T4, T7 and T9 forming an irregular rectangular perimeter.



Turbines with 2000/200cd ANO Visible Red Lights: T1, T4, T7 and T9

Figure 4 Lighting Layout with CAA Dispensations



Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Carn Fearna Wind Farm V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/065/24 Date: 06/01/25

#### **MOD Lighting Requirements**

10. Early detection is important especially if the aircraft is manoeuvring hard and the air temperature profile causes the turbines to blend into the background. Suitable lighting is necessary for flight safety.

11. MOD IR lights have been developed to be invisible to the public at large but very detectable to aircrew night vision aids. As such the MOD IR lights can have a wide beam width and flash continuously without disturbing the visible environment.




Figure 5 Wind turbine in context with MOD Low Flying

#### **MOD Infra-Red Lighting Layout**

- 12. The MOD requires:
  - That all 'compound-perimeter' turbines (see blue dotted line) be lit unless removing a light will leave a gap of less than 500m between the remaining perimeter lit turbines. Note: At this site, the CAA string and MOD compound perimeters are generally similar.
  - That any dominant turbine, by location or height, be lit. Note: here, all turbines are lit.
  - Carn Fearna does meet the MOD small site criteria (red dotted circle). This allows for nonperimeter turbines to not carry an IR light. However, all Carn Fearna turbines are considered perimeter turbines so all must retain their IR light.

Applying the MOD criteria dictates that all turbines of the Carn Fearna site will require IR lighting. Nine hub mounted IR lights in total.

Turbines with Infra-Red Lighting: T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, T7, T8 and T9



Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Carn Fearna Wind Farm V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/065/24 Date: 06/01/25




Figure 6 Proposed MOD Infra-Red Lighting Arrangement

#### Combined CAA Visible Lighting and MOD Infra-Red Lighting

		Car	rn Fearna T	urbine Lighting	Table					
Turbine	Easting	Northing	Tip Ht	Hub Ht	CAA ANO	MOD IR				
1	242405	863606	200	119	2000/200cd	600mW/sr				
2	242635	863089	200	119		600mW/sr				
3	242971	862610	200	119		600mW/sr				
4	243503	862480	200	119	2000/200cd	600mW/sr				
5	243545	862021	180	99		600mW/sr				
6	242013	863031	180	99		600mW/sr				
7	242176	862444	180	99	2000/200cd	600mW/sr				
8	242610	862159	200	119		600mW/sr				
9	242940	861769	180	99	2000/200cd	600mW/sr				

Table 1 CAA and MOD Lighting Table



Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Carn Fearna Wind Farm V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/065/24 Date: 06/01/25




Figure 7 CAA-ANO Visible Red and MOD Infra-Red Lighting Arrangement

#### **ANO Light Specifications**

13. The ANO 2000/200cd Lights will conform to the ICAO specification as set out in Annex 14 Table 6-3. The lights will also be controlled such that when the met visibility is greater than 5km in all directions from all turbine hubs, the lights will be reduced to 200cd (10% of normal power). This reduction in power will not apply to MOD IR Lights or 25cd lights.

		Minim	um requirer	nents			Rec	commendatio	ns		
	Vertical elevation angle (b) Vertical bear			l beam	Vertical elevation angle (b)			Vertica	beam		
Benchmark	0° -1°		spread (c)		0° -1° -10°		spread (c)				
intensity	Minimum average intensity (a)	Minimum intensity (a)	Minimum intensity (a)	Minimum beam spread	Intensity (a)	Maximum intensity (a)	Maximum intensity (a)	Maximum intensity (a)	Maximum beam spread	Intensity (a)	
2000	2000	1500	750	3°	750	2500	1125	75	N/A	N/A	
the Aerodrome b) Elevation ve	e Design Ma ertical angles	nual (Doc 9 s are referer	157), Part 4 nced to the I	horizontal w	hen the lig	ht unit is leve	elled.				ned in accordance w d in the "intensity"

Table 2 ICAO Annex 14 Table 6-3 Medium Intensity Lighting Specifications.



14. **Low Intensity Mid Mast Lights** – Mid mast lighting was originally intended to give an attitude/range reference (horizon indication) to pilots flying at night in the days before NVGs. Hub and mid mast lights will give a vertical reference (from which a horizontal reference can be gauged) when fitted to a single vertical structure. In contrast, a single light will not be able to give a vertical or horizontal reference or indication of range and range-rate. However, a series of single hub lights, on a group of structures, will provide a good horizon reference together with range and range-rate clues. Accordingly, the requirement for mid-masts lights is much diminished if not made redundant in the case of multiple vertical structures such as wind farms.

15. All of the current commercially available 32cd (supposedly focused) lights are over-engineered (up to 70cd between -30deg and +40deg to fit a multitude of aviation and marine applications) and they induce a disproportionately large environmental impact, often significantly more than the focused hub 2000/200cd lights. WPAC proposed that the CAA guidance requirement for 32cd (Type B) mid mast lights be removed for Carn Fearna and the CAA have now agreed that intermediate lighting is not required as reflected in their lighting reduction concession approval letter attached at Appendix C to this report.

	Minimum intensity (a)	Maximum intensity (a)	Vertical beam spread (f)		
			Minimum beam spread	Intensity	
Туре А	10 cd (b)	N/A	10°	5 cd	
Туре В	32 cd (b)	N/A	10°	16 cd	
Туре С	40 cd (b)	400 cd	12° (d)	20 cd	
Type D	200 cd (c)	400 cd	N/A (e)	N/A	

Note.— This table does not include recommended horizontal beam spreads. 6.2.1.3 requires 360° coverage around an obstacle. Therefore, the number of lights needed to meet this requirement will depend on the horizontal beam spreads of each light as well as the shape of the obstacle. Thus, with narrower beam spreads, more lights will be required.

Table 3 ICAO Annex 14 Table 6-2 Low Intensity Obstacle Lights.

#### **IR Light Specifications**

16. The IR lights will conform to the MOD specification as set out in MOD Lighting Guidance and shown below in Table 4.



Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Carn Fearna Wind Farm V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/065/24 Date: 06/01/25

#### MOD Specification IR.

<u>IR wavelength</u> – 750 to 900nm. But ideally concentrated within 800 to 850nm for optimum detection by all military NVG types. <u>IR intensity</u> – 600mW/sr minimum at peak flash but not above 1200mW/sr. (Note: Typically a 300mW/sr steady burn LED IR light will generate 600mW/sr at peak flash) This will generate a 7-8 nm NVG pick-up range - remaining above 5nm as the light ages.

Horizontal Pattern – unrestricted 360 deg.

<u>Vertical Pattern</u> – Minimum flash intensity of 600 mW/sr between +30 deg and -15 deg elevation. – up to 50% reduction between +25 to +30 deg and -10 to -15 deg is acceptable.

- Maximum intensity of 1200 mW/sr for all angles of elevation.

- Vertical overspill is acceptable.

Flash Pattern - 60 flashes per min at 100-500 ms duration (ideally 250ms)

Synchronisation - all lights to be visually synchronised across a wind farm site

Table 4MOD Specification for IR Obstacle Lights

#### Timings

17. The lights (IR and ANO) will be switched on between Evening Civil Twilight and Morning Civil Twilight in accordance with the UK Almanac; approximately 11 hours per day when averaged over the year. Additionally the lights can be switched on by a suitable Lux Meter when the sunlight falling on a vertical surface drops below 500 Lux. This second option would be suitable to activate the lights in poor day-time weather conditions.

## Assessment of Aviation Lighting and Potential Mitigation Measures Designed into the Lights

18. Having defined a layout of turbines to be fitted with visible lighting, an assessment has been undertaken to calculate the brilliance of the 2000cd red lights when seen from a number of viewpoints. The standard aviation lights to be fitted to the nacelle of the turbines are required to fulfil certain design criteria in terms of brilliance and coverage as per Table 2. They are designated 'medium intensity obstruction lights' and have a **minimum** luminous intensity of 2000 candela<sup>1</sup> at horizontal and slightly above. The LED lights are also required to be able to shine a beam that reduces in intensity above and below the horizontal. One manufacturer of such obstruction lights, CEL, have tested their light, the CEL-WT-MIC<sup>2</sup> in a calibration chamber and produced results showing precisely how much the beam reduces in brilliance at any specified elevation angle. The results are interpolated to every 0.1°. This light is a finely tunes upgrade to the previous version and has been developed specifically for onshore

<sup>1</sup> Candela is the SI Unit of luminous intensity and refers to the amount of light emitted in a particular direction.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> <u>CEL-WT-MIC - rev1.pdf</u>

Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Carn Fearna Wind Farm V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/065/24 Date: 06/01/25

wind turbine lighting in order to minimise downward light intensity and is now available from the manufacturer.

19. Figure 9 demonstrates the reduction in luminous intensity below the horizontal and also above 1° in elevation. The various coloured lines are the candela measured from different angles in the horizontal in order to measure the performance all around the light.




Figure 9 CEL-WT-MIC Light Measurement Results

20. WPAC have utilised their propagation modelling system (RView) to calculate the precise angle of elevation between the turbine light and a viewpoint assuming a height of eye of 1.5 metres and a turbine hub height of 99 and 119 metres as required. The system utilises a standard atmospheric model and an earth model that uses actual earth curvature between the turbine light and the viewpoint. Ordnance Survey OS50 DTM is used as the terrain model. The calculations have been undertaken for each designated lit turbine against all designated Carn Fearna Wind Farm viewpoints. The locations of the viewpoints are shown in Figure 10 and Table 5.



Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Carn Fearna Wind Farm V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/065/24 Date: 06/01/25




Figure 10 Viewpoint Locations

21. The next stage in the process is to take the candela figures radiated towards a viewpoint and taking into account the distance, calculate the lumens per square metre (also known as Lux) that will be experienced by the human eye at the viewpoint. The figure produced is in micro-lumens per square metre or  $lumen^{(10-6)}/m^2$ ) or  $lux^{(10-6)}$ . These are perfect clear-air figures and therefore worst case results from an LVIA perspective. Figures obtained by this method enable comparisons to be made with commonly understood light sources such as stars or planets. In practice the light intensity at the observation points will be further attenuated by scatter and absorption by airborne dust, droplets and aerosols in the atmosphere. This attenuation is typically in the order of 10 to 20% but can be as high as 75% at the more distant observation ranges.

22. The results for every medium intensity lit turbine from all of the viewpoints are shown in the results tables in Appendix A to this report. Viewpoints where lights are obstructed by terrain are shaded in green, when the viewpoint is too close to a turbine to get an accurate assessment it is shaded red. To take into account any limitations within the terrain model we have highlighted in purple any viewpoints where the line of sight is under 10 metres above ground level but above 1.5 metres and should therefore, still be screened by terrain but may be visible within the vicinity of the viewpoint.



Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Carn Fearna Wind Farm V2.0Our Ref: WPAC/065/24Date: 06/01/25

Viewpoint Number	Viewpoint Name	Position
1	Garve	NH 39475 61514
2	Gorstan	NH 38621 62623
3	Tarvie	NH 42340 58473
4	Little Wyvis	NH 42946 64425
5	An Cabar	NH 45044 66573
6	Glas Leathad Mor (Ben Wyvis)	NH 46293 68374
7	View Rock	NH 45944 57344
8	Loch Kinellan	NH 46832 57769
9	A834, Jamestown	NH 47728 56757
10	Marybank	NH 47940 53746
11	Knockfarrel	NH 50535 58548
12	Peffery Way at Fodderty Cemetery	NH 51199 59173
13	Tesco Dingwall	NH 54934 58891
14	Culbokie	NH 60284 59249
15	A862, west of Inverness	NH 60468 46196
16	Great Glen Way near Ladycairn	NH 56054 38986
17	Inverness Castle	NH 66625 45086
18	Milton of Leys Primary School	NH 69594 42174
19	Culloden battlefield	NH 74231 44814
20	Simpsons Garden Centre	NH 69561 44146
21	A9, Black Isle	NH 59049 58071
22	A835/B9169 Crossroads	NH 56018 53765
23	A835, Contin	NH 45850 55850
24	A835, south end of Loch Garve	NH 42500 58973
25	A835, Loch Glascarnoch	NH 31283 72275
26	A832, Strath Bran	NH 22005 60859
27	A832, Lochluichart	NH 32957 63116
28	A832 near Torriegorrie	NH 37292 63152
29	Sgùrr a' Mhuilinn	NH 26502 55775
30	Beinn a' Bha'ach Ard	NH 36079 43499
31	An Coileachan	NH 24164 68009
32	Am Faochagach	NH 30407 79329
33	Cnoc Fyrish monument	NH 60739 69751

Table 5 Viewpoints



Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Carn Fearna Wind Farm V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/065/24 Date: 06/01/25

#### **Interpreting the Results**

23. The results show that there is a significant decrease in the luminous intensity (candela) of the light emanating towards those viewpoints which are at lower angles of elevation in relation to the turbine hub. However, when considering the perception of the light from a viewpoint in general, the distance between the light and the viewpoint is likely to be the dominant factor and the resultant figure in micro-lux is the most relevant figure to consider. This report provides the results and anticipates that the Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (LVIA) consultants will be able to put them into the correct context for visualisations in terms of background environmental lighting and atmospheric conditions whilst following the guidelines in GLVIA3 or other relevant guidance. Table 6 shows the turbine with the greatest potential perceived luminous intensity expressed in micro-lumens per m<sup>2</sup>(Lux (<sup>10-6</sup>)) at each viewpoint.



Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Carn Fearna Wind Farm V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/065/24 Date: 06/01/25

Viewpoint	Brightest Lit Turbine	Distance (km)	microlumens per m <sup>2</sup> (lux <sup>10-6)</sup>	Microlumens at 10%	Obscured
1	1	3.6	5.8	0.6	
2	7	3.56	5.9	0.6	
3	9	3.35	6.5	0.7	
4	4	2.02	28.3	2.8	
5	4	4.37	7.6	0.8	
6	4	6.52	4.9	0.5	
7	9	5.35	4.9	0.5	
8	9	5.58	5.4	0.5	
9	4	7.11	2.8	0.3	
10	9	9.45	2.3	0.2	
11	9	8.25	5.6	0.6	
12	9	8.66	2.3	0.2	
13	9	12.33	2.0	0.2	
14	9	17.53	2.3	0.2	
15	9	23.45	1.4	0.1	
16	9	26.29	2.5	0.3	
17	9	28.97	1.1	0.1	
18	9	33.08	1.3	0.1	
19	9	35.59	1.2	0.1	
20	9	31.93	1.2	0.1	
21	9	16.53	2.1	0.2	
22	9	15.33	2.5	0.3	
23	9	6.6	2.7	0.3	
24	9	2.83	9.4	0.9	
25	1	14.1	3.9	0.4	
26	9	20.96	2.0	0.2	
27	9	10.07	3.0	0.3	
28	7	4.94	3.9	0.4	
29	7	17.03	7.1	0.7	
30	7	19.9	5.3	0.5	
31	1	18.76	5.8	0.6	
32	1	19.78	5.3	0.5	
33	4	18.71	5.1	0.5	

Table 6 Brightest Turbine Hub Light from each Viewpoint (measured in micro-lumens)

24. In order to place the values in microlumens per m<sup>2</sup> (lux<sup>10-6</sup>) in context, Table 7 provides some examples of approximate values placed on a number of environmental comparators, however these are just an illustration to place the results in a real world environment. The actual perceived brightness will depend upon a number of factors including bulb manufacturer, bulb type, specific construction (single/multiple colour LEDs etc) atmospheric conditions, absorption spectrum, individual eye characteristics and capabilities.



Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Carn Fearna Wind Farm V2.0Our Ref: WPAC/065/24Date: 06/01/25

Comparison Object	Approximate Illuminance (micro-lumens per m <sup>2</sup> )
Car Halogen main beam approaching 1km	Up to 1,000,000 (can vary significantly between cars)
International Space Station (400km up)	1000 (depends upon relative position of sun)
Car Brake Light at 0.5km	400
Car Brake Light at 0.7km	200
Car Brake Light at 1.0km	100
Car Brake Light at 2.0km	25
Car Brake Light at 5.0km	4
Car Brake Light at 10km	1
Front Cycle Light at 0.5km	140 (Modern high power white LED)
Front Cycle Light at 0.7km	70
Front Cycle Light at 1.0km	35
Front Cycle Light at 2km	9
Front Cycle Light at 5km	2
White LED Street Light at 0.5km	500 (Viewed from the horizontal)
White LED Street Light at 0.7km	250
White LED Street Light at 1.0km	120
White LED Street Light at 2.0km	30
White LED Street Light at 5.0km	8
Sodium Street Light at 0.5km	300 (Viewed from the horizontal)
Sodium Street Light at 0.7km	150
Sodium Street Light at 1.0km	75
Sodium Street Light at 2.0km	20
Sodium Street Light at 5.0km	5
Brightest Star in the Sky (Sirius)	13
Airliner flying at 30,000ft)	Nav Lights 0.4 to 5; anti-collision lights 2 to 20
Typical bright star (e.g. Orion)	0.5 to 2.0
Faintest light visible from street lit area	0.4
Visible limit for fully dark-adapted eyes	0.02

Table 7 Comparisons of approximate micro-lumens values



Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Carn Fearna Wind Farm V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/065/24 Date: 06/01/25

25. If there is a requirement to consider the brightest turbine in terms of emitted candela rather than micro-lumens, Table 8 provides data on which turbine emits the most candela towards each viewpoint but takes no account of the distance between light and viewpoint.

Viewpoint	Brightest Lit Turbine	Distance (km)	Candela	Candela at 10%	Obscured
1	1	3.6	75	8	
2	7	3.56	75	8	
3	7	3.97	80	8	
4	9	2.66	127	13	
5	7	5.03	175	18	
6	9	7.41	221	22	
7	1	7.19	176	18	
8	1	7.33	206	21	
9	4	7.11	140	14	
10	1	11.31	232	23	
11	9	8.25	378	38	
12	7	9.6	196	20	
13	9	12.33	304	30	
14	9	17.53	706	71	
15	9	23.45	776	78	
16	9	26.29	1697	170	
17	9	28.97	949	95	
18	9	33.08	1451	145	
19	9	35.59	1451	145	
20	9	31.93	1181	118	
21	9	16.53	584	58	
22	9	15.33	584	58	
23	1	8.49	149	15	
24	9	2.83	75	8	
25	4	15.66	858	86	
26	9	20.96	858	86	
27	9	10.07	304	30	
28	4	6.25	128	13	
29	1	17.73	2117	212	
30	1	21.08	2134	213	
31	4	20.11	2092	209	
32	4	21.34	2092	209	
33	9	19.51	1867	187	

Table 8 Brightest Turbine Hub Light measured in Candela emitted towards a viewpoint



Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Carn Fearna Wind Farm V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/065/24 Date: 06/01/25

## **Part 2 Mitigation**

#### Intensity Reduction (ANO Lighting: 2000cd down to 200cd)

26. The lights (IR and visible red lights) will be switched on between Evening Civil Twilight and Morning Civil Twilight in accordance with the UK Almanac; approximately 11 hours per day averaged over the year.

27. The primary mitigation consideration in addition to the already described reduction in brilliance due to elevation angle, is taken from Reference D which states:

'If the horizontal meteorological visibility in all directions from every wind turbine generator in a group is more than 5 km, the intensity for the light positioned as close as practicable to the top of the fixed structure required to be fitted to any generator in the windfarm and displayed may be reduced to not less than 10% of the minimum peak intensity specified for a light of this type'.

28. It is therefore possible to take advantage of the CAA SARG Policy Statement dated 01/06/2017 and incorporate the option to reduce the hub height lighting to not less than 10% of the minimum peak intensity specified for the installation in good weather. In essence, reducing the 2000cd obstruction lights to 200cd in meteorological visibilities greater than 5km. Note: This concession is not applicable to MOD specification IR lighting or the 25cd red lights, which are covered separately.

29. It will be necessary to calculate how much time the lights would spend at 2000cd and at 200cd. To assess historical visibility in this region, the closest meteorological station to Carn Fearna is at Inverness. However, although the visibility will not be identical at these two locations, it will be in the same air-mass for the majority of the time and will give similar observations. Table 9 below is a Met Office table of visibilities throughout the year for Inverness Airport which are averaged over a 30-year period.




Table 9 Inverness Visibility Table



Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Carn Fearna Wind Farm V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/065/24 Date: 06/01/25

30. These Met Office records show that the visibility is below 5km (light blue bar) for an average of 4% of the time. This suggests that the lights at Carn Fearna will be set at **2000cd for 4% of the time** (visibility below 5km) and set at 200cd for 96% of the time (visibility above 5km).

31. Whilst Inverness is not Carn Fearna, it will be in the same air mass. In addition, visibility improves with height since the concentration of particles (dust, haze) and liquid droplets (water) reduces with height and the air also becomes thinner. It could be argued that the Carn Fearna visibility would be better than that at Inverness.

In addition, cloud will play its part in the observability of the obstruction lights at Carn Fearna. This can also be obtained from Met Office data.

#### **Obstruction Light Weather Obscuration.**

32. On occasion, the visibility in the area of Carn Fearna will reduce significantly due to the presence of cloud on the hills. If the Carn Fearna turbines are in cloud, then the obstruction lights will not be seen. The turbines will carry the CAA/ANO lights on the turbine hub. The average height at the base of these turbines is around 1400ft above mean sea level (amsl). The hub heights, for the proposed turbines will be around 400ft above ground level (agl) giving hub heights averaging around 1800ft amsl. For aeronautical reasons, meteorological cloud bases are quoted in feet (ft).

33. Using these heights, it is possible to compare the light altitudes amsl with the actual cloud bases recorded by the Met Office at Inverness (over a 30-year period) as shown below in Table 10.




Table 10 Inverness Cloud Base Table.

34. The darker red columns (600-1000ft amsl) indicate that, on around 300 occasions a month, the cloud-base will be below the turbine hub heights. In addition, the combined blue, red and yellow



Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Carn Fearna Wind Farm V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/065/24 Date: 06/01/25

columns indicate that on a further 200 times a month the weather would be such that the cloud would be so low that the turbines/lights would only be visible to people on the hills when very close to the turbines.

35. Again, whilst Inverness is not Carn Fearna, meteorological statistics and science show that cloud-bases reduce in the region of hills. It could be argued that at Carn Fearna the cloud-base would, on the whole, be lower than at Inverness thus providing an even greater degree of turbine light obscuration on more occasions per month.

#### Weather Obscuration Conclusion

36. It is most important not to try and combine the two different observations, visibility and cloudbase, into a single statement. <u>Informal advice</u> direct from Met Office and Airport forecasters indicates that the information for Carn Fearna, so gathered, should be presented as follows:

*Meteorological observations suggest that the turbine hubs/lights will be <u>obscured on</u> <u>over 500 occasions a month</u> by cloud.* 

<u>When not obscured by cloud</u>, the visibility in the area of the turbines can be expected to exceed 5km for 96% of the time and <u>the 2000cd lights will be switched down to 200cd</u>.

#### **Visibility Meter Locations**

37. The CAA currently state that visibility should be measured at suitable points around the wind farm. In the case of Carn Fearna turbines, visibility meters on T1 and T9 will meet this requirement.

#### **Conclusion/Notes**

38. The purpose of this Lighting Brief is to identify an obstruction lighting arrangement that minimizes any environmental effects but at the same time is a safe design for night low level operators. This has been accomplished by using a combination of CAA ANO and MOD IR lights.

39. Applying the CAP 764 Draft criteria, for visible red obstruction lighting, results in a solution that requires all-bar-one turbine to carry an ANO 2000cd visible red light. By initially applying current CAA dispensations, and then matching the lighting to the night operators' requirements, an environmentally friendly, but aviation safe, layout has been achieved. This results in a total of:

#### 4 x ANO Visible Red 2000cd lights and 9 x MOD IR 600mW/sr lights.

40. Furthermore, the site is in an area where it will benefit from both the dimming of the visible red lights in good-weather and regular obscuration of both turbines and the visible red light by cloud.

The lights will be regularly obscured by cloud and when not obscured set at the lower 200cd for approximately 96% of the time.



Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Carn Fearna Wind Farm V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/065/24 Date: 06/01/25

## **Technical Mitigation**

41. One other form of potential mitigation commonly discussed is the installation of an Aircraft Detection Lighting System (ADLS). There are two possible methods of detecting an aircraft approaching a wind farm that will automatically turn on the aviation obstruction lights, firstly through the use of a suitable primary surveillance radar (PSR) or secondly, the use of aircraft installed Electronic Conspicuity (EC) equipment with a suitable receiver at the wind farm. There are some significant technical and regulatory issues to be overcome before any such system can be installed and operated in the UK.

42. In the case of PSR, this is already in use at wind farms in Europe; as an example the Terma Scanter 5002 radar is installed at a number of sites as shown in Figure 11. The main regulatory constraint is that although such systems are in use in Europe, in the UK, where airspace tends to be shared to a much greater extent between users, the CAA have yet to mandate the performance parameters that such a system must be capable of fulfilling. For example, the coverage requirement will need to be defined in terms of maximum range of detection and activation (which may vary depending upon the speed of the aircraft), base of cover (above ground level) and almost certainly a maximum height coverage to avoid unnecessary activations, which a PSR on its own cannot ascertain. An initial set of draft requirements was promulgated in 2018 but these were for discussion with aviation stakeholders and the wind industry and it cannot be assumed that these are going to be the final criteria. Even if the standards are defined, it may be that any single radar will not be capable of delivering the required coverage where, for example, a wind farm is located on a hill and aircraft may approach below the wind farm from any direction. It may then become necessary to install multiple radars in order to achieve the required coverage at low level. This in itself may lead to limitations due to mutual interference in what is already a crowded part of the electro-magnetic spectrum, (although the Terma radar does have some anti-interference capabilities) but the additional radars may affect other systems working in the same frequency band. There would also be additional planning issues to consider, such as the visual impact of additional aerials, and rotating arrays. Technical constraints also mean that it will be necessary to position the radars some distance outside the windfarm as shown in the example below in order to avoid turbines screening the radar and to provide the required height coverage.



Figure 11 Terma 5002 Radar at a Wind Farm in Germany

43. The one major advantage of PSR is that it will detect any aircraft, both those transponding and those that are not, known as non-co-operative targets. Depending upon how the regulatory process



Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Carn Fearna Wind Farm V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/065/24 Date: 06/01/25

moves forwards, this may have a major effect on which systems to use for ADLS. In response to a recent planning inquiry paper the CAA responded stating in a letter dated 21 April 2021: For the UK, there are some challenges to be resolved. The cost/benefit of the use of primary surveillance radar for the active detection of aircraft, spectrum availability, incentive pricing cost and geographical separation required before frequencies can be re-used potentially makes this a less than optimal solution.

44. The alternate system is one based upon a reliance on aircraft carried Electronic Conspicuity (EC) transponders. Currently light aircraft flying clear of regulated airspace in the UK below 10,000ft are not required to carry a transponder (one example being Secondary Surveillance Radar or SSR). Most aircraft do, but not all. The CAA has been encouraging fitment by all aircraft and hope to have a regulatory system in place within the next few years requiring all flying machines to be fitted. Unfortunately this is not a simple process. This issue has been running for at least 20 years so far, however some limited progress is now being made. In the same response to a recent planning inquiry paper the CAA stated: 'At the same time, the lack of interoperability between the wide variety of electronic conspicuity devices currently available may require careful consideration of the specification of any passive system receivers and how they are deemed compliant to be deployed and operated. ' The letter goes on to state: 'We concur that not every situation may require ADLS to be fitted and operated; Article 222 or 223 requirements of the Air Navigation Order will remain, and the CAA may agree a specific solution under Section 7 of Article 222 and Section 11 of Article 223. However, ADLS could potentially provide an acceptable means of compliance that could provide greater certainty for developers when developing planning proposals on CAA acceptance and assist with discussions with communities during planning consultation.' What this letter is saying is that ADSL using EC is technically feasible but that until the regulatory actions concerning the mandatory carriage of a compatible EC system have been completed and signed into law, and the coverage requirements agreed, uncertainty remains unless a planning condition to require the retrospective installation of a system is considered appropriate. The length of time that this is likely to take is difficult to estimate, however, realistically it is likely to be within a two to five year timeframe as it is a small part of a much wider airspace modernisation programme currently under way. Additionally, the CAA also issued a Guidance Notice dated 26/10/21 entitled: 'Electronic continuity specifications: enabling interoperability between airspace users'. This announced the establishment of a task force to jointly develop electronic conspicuity specifications to enable interoperability between airspace users. It goes on to state: 'The adoption of EC specifications will not be mandated UK wide. Users of other systems can continue to benefit from the functionality that those products offer'. This does not mean that an EC triggered ADLS system will not be feasible, but the regulatory challenges mentioned above may take longer to resolve, a position reinforced by the Scottish Government recently in relation to the Narachan Wind Farm decision where Ministers stated the following: 'The Scottish Ministers have carefully considered the option of imposing a suspensive condition to secure the installation of an ADLS, prior to construction of the proposed Development, but do not find that the evidence provided to date on the matter affords sufficient assurance that either method would be capable of being installed on the proposed Development within the next 5 years. As such, taking account of the resulting uncertainty on timescales for the deployment of the proposed Development if it were to be consented, the Scottish Ministers consider it would not be appropriate in this case to impose the suspensive condition proposed by the Reporter to mitigate the effects of the proposed Development's lighting on the SQs of the North Arran NSA.'



Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Carn Fearna Wind Farm V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/065/24 Date: 06/01/25

45. What is clear is that when ADLS is finally mandated by the CAA, the carriage of compatible transponders is also mandated and all aircraft fitted with them, this is likely to be a realistic way of triggering an ADLS system. Such systems are passive at the wind farm and will not, therefore cause any interference. As shown in Figure 10 they require unobtrusive small aerials, approximately 1.2 metres long that are very reliable and relatively inexpensive to install and operate.



Figure 10 ADSB/SSR Passive Aerial

46. Bearing the above in mind, it might be prudent to ensure that lighting installed on the turbines is compatible with any future EC triggered ADSL system, so that when the regulatory process and aircraft equipage has been completed, it will be a relatively cheap and simple exercise to retro-fit such a system. Alternately, the ADSB/SSR aerials and system could be installed when the wind farm is constructed, ready for activation when required. Unfortunately, it is still not certain that the regulatory process and equipage issues will be completed within the lifetime of a planning consent.

47. An ADLS system may not be suitable for every location, depending upon the nature of aviation operations at night in the area around the wind farm and the activation criteria that are finally mandated by the CAA. If located close to the approach for a major airport for example, the lights might be required to be turning on and off continuously but this is very unlikely to be the case at Carn Fearna. The EC activated ADLS system will be able to differentiate between civil traffic and SAR/HEMS/military traffic using NVD and not therefore activate when these types of aviation operations are taking place within the activation zone for the system. The infra-red lights that these types of operations rely on will always be on at night, but of course are invisible to the naked eye and will have no effect on the visual impact of the development.



Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Carn Fearna Wind Farm V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/065/24 Date: 06/01/25

## Comment

48. In recent months various briefing documents have been in circulation suggesting that visible obstruction lights are not required in the current aviation environment. The CAA have briefed WPAC that they do not support this position and would consider prosecuting organisations that do not follow the existing guidance and regulations. However, change will come; this will be led by the CAA and be centred on the new draft CAP 764 (as adhered to in this report) and the future development of ADLS.

49. From the direct experience of WPAC staff who have over 40 years of day/night low flying over land and sea both with and without NVG/Ds, the inclusion of adequate visible red lighting is important to cater for both routine operations and the inevitable unplanned outcome. Pilots uncertain of their location together with emergency situations and system failures of critical night low flying equipment are circumstances that require a degree of visible obstruction lighting on large wind turbines.

50. In addition, future green energy aircraft (hydrogen/electric and battery powered) will fly considerably lower and slower than current aircraft and will be significantly limited in track variation by much shorter ranges. Good visible obstruction lighting will become more, not less important in this low direct flight environment.

51. Finally, an aircraft colliding with a wind turbine is thankfully an extremely rare event but one with enormous potential consequences. A standard risk assessment as part of an aviation safety case would conclude that even a very low probability of a significant dangerous event is still unacceptable and must be mitigated, in this case by the fitting of visible obstruction lights.

## Conclusion

52. This report has assessed the requirements for both visible, CAA approved aviation lighting and MOD approved Infra-Red lighting for the Carn Fearna Wind Farm. The resulting layout is set out in Figures 4 and 6 and makes use of both CAA/ANO Red lights and MOD IR lights. The proposed layouts were sent to the CAA and MOD DIO for approval. The MOD will approve the IR lighting layout as there is no concession required and the CAA have considered the proposal and responded, approving the reduced lighting design; their approval letter is attached at Appendix C.

53. The report also provides the brilliance of lights that will be visible taking into account the elevation angle between the turbine hub obstruction light and the viewpoints and the distance between each turbine and each viewpoint. The report shows that for up to 96% of the time, the 2000cd lights will only be required to operate at 10% luminous intensity, which will significantly reduce obstruction light effects in the area. Further interpretation of these results can be undertaken by a Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment expert.

54. The report then identifies additional mitigation options that, should the regulatory process allow, enable the visible medium intensity turbine lights to be switched off for the vast majority of the time and activated only on those occasions when an aircraft activates the system.



Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Carn Fearna Wind Farm V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/065/24 Date: 06/01/25

#### Authors

**Cdr John Taylor RN (Ret)** – after a career in the Royal Navy specialising in Air Traffic Control (ATC), Airspace Management and Air Defence which culminated in leading both the ATC and Fighter Control Specialisations, John worked for Lockheed Martin UK for three years as a Principal Consultant and Business Area Manager responsible for Air Traffic Management Consultancy, including the provision of advice to wind farm developers. In 2008 he founded WPAC Ltd and since then he and his team have provided aviation advice in relation to over 2000 wind farm and wind turbine sites, given evidence at a number of planning inquiries and enabled many sites to overcome aviation objections where it was feasible to do so. He and his team have also provided advice to a number of Local Planning Authorities, Renewable UK and the Aviation Fund Management Board, including organising workshops and the provision of guidance documents. John also advises planners and developers in relation to physical and technical safeguarding of non-wind farm developments in the vicinity of aviation facilities.

Sqn Ldr Mike Hale RAF (Rtd) has over 45 years, piloting, instructing and examining experience on numerous military fast jet aircraft through to a range of civilian and military general aviation training aircraft and gliders. He has held many posts including Flying Instructor, Training Officer, Flight Commander, Squadron Commander and Principal Tornado AD Force Examiner. He has amassed over 10,000 flying hours of experience when operating at many locations around the world. In parallel to his flying duties, Mike held the post of Officer Commanding the MOD Low Flying Operations Squadron (OC LFOS). In this post he was both Low Level Airspace Manager for the MOD & Wind-Farm Subject Matter Expert for the Defence Infrastructure Organization (DIO). During that period, he assessed over 14,000 wind-farm pre-applications and 2000 full applications against low flying, weapons range, specialist airspace, local community and aerodrome safeguarding criteria. Mike also instigated two Qinetiq ground based Infra Red obstruction lighting trials. These were followed by instigating and managing the MOD Infra Red/Low Intensity (Henlow) flight trials and the CAA/MOD/Trinity-House/RUK off-shore IR/Morse (North Hoyle) flight trials. In conjunction, Mike organised numerous and various supporting trials including night vision equipment compatibility and detailed lighting beam overspill analysis (where light is emitted outside the required specification envelope). In 2012, he was awarded an MBE for generating a proactive and mutually successful working relationship between the Wind Power Industry and the MOD Air Staff.

bhu Janlas

Cdr John Taylor RN (Ret) Managing Director Wind Power Aviation Consultants Ltd <u>www.wpac.co.uk</u>

Mil Hale

Sqn Ldr Mike Hale MBE MSc CFS RAF (Retd) Aviation Consultant for WPAC Ltd



Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Carn Fearna Wind Farm V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/065/24 Date: 06/01/25

#### **Appendix A Lighting Results Tables**

Turbine	Distance (km)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> )	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> ) at 10%	Obscured
1	3.6	-7.9	75	8	5.8	0.6	
4	4.14	-3.9	136	14	7.9	0.8	Х
7	2.86	-7.7	75	8	9.2	0.9	Х
9	3.47	-5.9	77	8	6.3	0.6	Х

#### Viewpoint 1 Garve

Turbine	Distance (km)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> )	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> ) at 10%	Obscured
1	3.91	-7.1	75	8	4.9	0.5	
4	4.88	-4.6	108	11	4.5	0.5	Х
7	3.56	-7.4	75	8	5.9	0.6	
9	4.4	-3.1	196	20	10.1	1.0	Х

#### Viewpoint 2 Gorstan

Turbine	Distance (km)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> )	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> ) at 10%	Obscured
1	5.13	-4.4	114	11	4.3	0.4	Х
4	4.17	-5.8	78	8	4.5	0.5	
7	3.97	-5.7	80	8	5.1	0.5	
9	3.35	-6.3	73	7	6.5	0.7	

#### Viewpoint 3 Tarvie

Turbine	Distance (km)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> )	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> ) at 10%	Obscured
1							
4	2.02	5.4	116	12	28.3	2.8	
7	2.12	5.8	98	10	21.8	2.2	
9	2.66	5.2	127	13	18.1	1.8	

Viewpoint 4 Little Wyvis

Turbine	Distance (km)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> )	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> ) at 10%	Obscured
1	3.97	10.0	52	5	3.3	0.3	Х
4	4.37	4.9	145	14	7.6	0.8	
7	5.03	4.6	175	18	6.9	0.7	
9	5.24	4.7	166	17	6.0	0.6	
, 9			166	17			

Viewpoint 5 An Cabar



Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Carn Fearna Wind Farm V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/065/24 Date: 06/01/25

Turbine	Distance (km)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> )	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> ) at 10%	Obscured
1	6.15	10.3	100	10	2.6	0.3	Х
4	6.52	4.2	209	21	4.9	0.5	
7	7.22	4.6	166	17	3.2	0.3	Х
9	7.41	4.1	221	22	4.0	0.4	

Viewpoint 6 Glas Leathad Mor (Ben Wyvis)

Turbine	Distance (km)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> )	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> ) at 10%	Obscured
1	7.19	-3.3	176	18	3.4	0.3	
4	5.69	-4.1	128	13	4.0	0.4	
7	6.34	-3.5	158	16	3.9	0.4	
9	5.35	-3.8	140	14	4.9	0.5	
			T.	liournaint 7	View Pock		

#### Viewpoint 7 View Rock

Turbine	Distance (km)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> )	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> ) at 10%	Obscured
1	7.33	-3.0	206	21	3.8	0.4	
4	5.77	-3.8	140	14	4.2	0.4	
7	6.6	-3.1	196	20	4.5	0.5	
9	5.58	-3.4	167	17	5.4	0.5	

#### Viewpoint 8 Loch Kinellan

Turbine	Distance (km)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> )	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> ) at 10%	Obscured
1	8.67	-3.1	196	20	2.6	0.3	Х
4	7.11	-3.8	140	14	2.8	0.3	
7	7.95	-3.2	186	19	2.9	0.3	Х
9	6.93	-3.4	167	17	3.5	0.4	М

#### Viewpoint 9 A834, Jamestown

Turbine	Distance (km)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> )	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> ) at 10%	Obscured
1	11.31	-2.8	232	23	1.8	0.2	
4	9.8	-3.2	186	19	1.9	0.2	
7	10.44	-2.9	218	22	2.0	0.2	
9	9.45	-3.0	206	21	2.3	0.2	
			<b>X</b> 7		) Marrie and		

Viewpoint 10 Marybank



Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Carn Fearna Wind Farm V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/065/24 Date: 06/01/25

Turbine	Distance (km)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> )	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> ) at 10%	Obscured
1	9.58	-1.2	858	86	9.4	0.9	Х
4	8.06	-2.6	262	26	4.0	0.4	
7	9.22	-2.1	378	38	4.4	0.4	
9	8.25	-2.2	378	38	5.6	0.6	

Viewpoint 11 Knockfarrel

Turbine	Distance (km)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> )	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> ) at 10%	Obscured
1	9.85	-0.8	1314	131	13.6	1.4	Х
4	8.38	-3.8	140	14	2.0	0.2	
7	9.6	-3.2	196	20	2.1	0.2	
9	8.66	-3.3	176	18	2.3	0.2	
		<b>T</b> 7.		D (( 147			

Viewpoint 12 Peffery Way at Fodderty Cemetery

Turbine	Distance (km)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> )	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> ) at 10%	Obscured
1	13.39	0.8	2117	212	11.8	1.2	Х
4	11.98	-2.6	262	26	1.8	0.2	Х
7	13.24	-2.3	324	32	1.9	0.2	Х
9	12.33	-2.4	304	30	2.0	0.2	

#### Viewpoint 13 Tesco Dingwall

Turbine	Distance (km)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> )	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> ) at 10%	Obscured
1	18.4	1.6	1643	164	4.9	0.5	Х
4	17.09	-1.7	535	54	1.8	0.2	
7	18.39	-1.5	642	64	1.9	0.2	
9	17.53	-1.4	706	71	2.3	0.2	

Viewpoint 14 Culbokie

Turbine	Distance (km)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> )	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> ) at 10%	Obscured
1	25.09	-1.4	706	71	1.1	0.1	
4	23.52	-1.5	642	64	1.2	0.1	
7	24.47	-1.4	776	78	1.3	0.1	
9	23.45	-1.3	776	78	1.4	0.1	

Viewpoint 15 A862, west of Inverness



Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Carn Fearna Wind Farm V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/065/24 Date: 06/01/25

Turbine	Distance (km)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> )	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> ) at 10%	Obscured
1	28.15	-0.6	1580	158	2.0	0.2	
4	26.64	-0.6	1580	158	2.2	0.2	
7	27.26	-0.6	1580	158	2.1	0.2	
9	26.29	-0.5	1697	170	2.5	0.3	

Viewpoint 16 Great Glen Way near Ladycairn

Turbine	Distance (km)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> )	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> ) at 10%	Obscured
1	30.49	-1.1	949	95	1.0	0.1	
4	28.93	-1.2	858	86	1.0	0.1	
7	29.98	-1.1	949	95	1.1	0.1	
9	28.97	-1.1	949	95	1.1	0.1	
-							

Viewpoint 17 Inverness Castle

Turbine	Distance (km)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> )	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> ) at 10%	Obscured
1	34.62	-0.8	1314	131	1.1	0.1	
4	33.06	-0.8	1314	131	1.2	0.1	
7	34.1	-0.8	1314	131	1.1	0.1	
9	33.08	-0.7	1451	145	1.3	0.1	

Viewpoint 18 Milton of Leys Primary School

Turbine	Distance (km)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> )	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> ) at 10%	Obscured
1	36.96	-0.8	1314	131	1.0	0.1	
4	35.44	-0.8	1314	131	1.1	0.1	
7	36.58	-0.7	1451	145	1.1	0.1	
9	35.59	-0.7	1451	145	1.2	0.1	

Viewpoint 19 Culloden battlefield

Turbine	Distance (km)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> )	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> ) at 10%	Obscured
1	33.41	-1.0	1055	106	1.0	0.1	
4	31.86	-1.0	1055	106	1.0	0.1	
7	32.94	-1.0	1055	106	1.0	0.1	
9	31.93	-0.9	1181	118	1.2	0.1	

Viewpoint 20 Simpsons Garden Centre



Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Carn Fearna Wind Farm V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/065/24 Date: 06/01/25

Turbine	Distance (km)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> )	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> ) at 10%	Obscured
1	17.54	1.4	1827	183	5.9	0.6	Х
4	16.16	-1.8	488	49	1.9	0.2	
7	17.43	-1.6	584	58	1.9	0.2	
9	16.53	-1.6	584	58	2.1	0.2	

Viewpoint 21 A9, Black Isle

Turbine	Distance (km)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> )	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> ) at 10%	Obscured
1	16.8	-1.6	584	58	2.1	0.2	
4	15.25	-1.8	488	49	2.1	0.2	
7	16.34	-1.6	584	58	2.2	0.2	
9	15.33	-1.6	584	58	2.5	0.3	
			<b>T</b> 7 •		/D01(0 C		

Viewpoint 22 A835/B9169 Crossroads

Turbine	Distance (km)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> )	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> ) at 10%	Obscured
1	8.49	-3.7	149	15	2.1	0.2	
4	7.03	-4.4	114	11	2.3	0.2	
7	7.55	-3.9	136	14	2.4	0.2	
9	6.6	-4.3	117	12	2.7	0.3	
			<b>T</b> 7:-		AQ2E Combin		

#### Viewpoint 23 A835, Contin

Turbine	Distance (km)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> )	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> ) at 10%	Obscured
1	4.63	-4.8	100	10	4.6	0.5	Х
4	3.65	-7.5	75	8	5.6	0.6	
7	3.49	-6.6	72	7	5.9	0.6	Х
9	2.83	-8.6	75	8	9.4	0.9	

Viewpoint 24 A835, south end of Loch Garve

Turbine	Distance (km)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> )	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> ) at 10%	Obscured
1	14.1	-1.3	776	78	3.9	0.4	
4	15.66	-1.2	858	86	3.5	0.4	
7	14.67	-1.1	949	95	4.4	0.4	Х
9	15.69	-0.9	1181	118	4.8	0.5	Х

Viewpoint 25 A835, Loch Glascarnoch



Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Carn Fearna Wind Farm V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/065/24 Date: 06/01/25

Turbine	Distance (km)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> )	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> ) at 10%	Obscured
1	20.58	-1.3	776	78	1.8	0.2	
4	21.56	-1.3	776	78	1.7	0.2	
7	20.23	-1.3	776	78	1.9	0.2	
9	20.96	-1.2	858	86	2.0	0.2	

Viewpoint 26 A832, Strath Bran

Turbine	Distance (km)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> )	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> ) at 10%	Obscured
1	9.46	-2.9	218	22	2.4	0.2	
4	10.56	-2.6	262	26	2.4	0.2	
7	9.24	-2.8	232	23	2.7	0.3	
9	10.07	-2.4	304	30	3.0	0.3	
-			T 7.				

Viewpoint 27 A832, Lochluichart

Turbine	Distance (km)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> )	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> ) at 10%	Obscured
1	5.13	-5.0	94	9	3.6	0.4	
4	6.25	-4.1	128	13	3.3	0.3	
7	4.94	-4.9	96	10	3.9	0.4	
9	5.82	-3.3	176	18	5.2	0.5	Х

#### Viewpoint 28 A832 near Torriegorrie

Turbine	Distance (km)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> )	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> ) at 10%	Obscured
1	17.73	0.8	2117	212	6.7	0.7	
4	18.28	0.8	2117	212	6.3	0.6	
7	17.03	1.0	2054	205	7.1	0.7	
9	17.5	1.0	2054	205	6.7	0.7	

Viewpoint 29 Sgùrr a' Mhuilinn

Turbine	Distance (km)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> )	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> ) at 10%	Obscured
1	21.08	0.7	2134	213	4.8	0.5	
4	20.38	0.7	2134	213	5.1	0.5	Х
7	19.9	0.8	2117	212	5.3	0.5	
9	19.52	0.9	2092	209	5.5	0.6	Х

Viewpoint 30 Beinn a' Bha'ach Ard



Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Carn Fearna Wind Farm V2.0Our Ref: WPAC/065/24Date: 06/01/25

Turbine	Distance (km)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> )	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> ) at 10%	Obscured
1	18.76	1.0	2054	205	5.8	0.6	
4	20.11	0.9	2092	209	5.2	0.5	
7	18.85	1.1	2006	201	5.7	0.6	
9	19.79	1.1	2006	201	5.1	0.5	

Viewpoint 31 An Coileachan

Distance (km)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> )	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> ) at 10%	Obscured
19.78	1.0	2054	205	5.3	0.5	
21.34	0.9	2092	209	4.6	0.5	
20.58	1.1	2054	205	4.9	0.5	
21.57	1.1	2006	201	4.3	0.4	
	19.78 21.34 20.58	19.781.021.340.920.581.1	19.781.0205421.340.9209220.581.1205421.571.12006	19.781.0205420521.340.9209220920.581.1205420521.571.12006201	(km)Angleat 10%square metre (lux10-)19.781.0020542055.321.340.920922094.620.581.120542054.9	(km)Angleat 10%square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> )square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> ) at 10%19.781.020542055.30.521.340.920922094.60.520.581.120542054.90.521.571.120062014.30.4

Viewpoint 32 Am Faochagach

Turbine	Distance (km)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> )	Microlumens per square metre (lux <sup>10-6</sup> ) at 10%	Obscured
1	19.34	7.0	73	7	0.2	0.0	Х
4	18.71	-0.4	1790	179	5.1	0.5	
7	19.95	0.1	2064	206	5.2	0.5	Х
9	19.51	-0.3	1867	187	4.9	0.5	

Viewpoint 33 Cnoc Fyrish monument



Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Carn Fearna Wind Farm V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/065/24 Date: 06/01/25

#### **Appendix B – Abbreviations and Definitions**

ADCP Automatic Dependent Surveillance President
ADSBAutomatic Dependent Surveillance Broadcast AGLAbove Ground Level (Height)
ANOAir Navigation Order
AMSLAbove Mean Sea Level (Elevation)
ASGAviation Steering Group
CAACivil Aviation Authority
CAPCivil Aviation Publication (Referrers to Specific Documents)
cdCandela, a measure of light intensity
DIODefence Infrastructure Organisation
HNTAHelicopter Night Training Area
In Flight VisibilityThe distance a pilot can see ahead to fly & navigate the aircraft
IRInfra-Red
KtsKnots: a measure of airspeed (10 kts = 12mph = 19 kph)
LEDLight Emitting Diode
MODMinistry of Defence
mW/srmilliWatts per steradian: electromagnetic energy output related to solid angle
NmNautical Mile
NVDNight Vision Devices - Aircraft Mounted
NVGNight Vision Goggles - Operator Worn
Radar AltimeterAn altimeter that uses radar to accurately measure height above ground
QFESetting on Altimeter that gives Height above Airfield
RoARRules of the Air Regulations
Rule 5The Low Flying Rule – part of RoAR
Rule 28VFR Rules Outside Controlled Airspace – part of the RoAR
ReUKRenewables UK – The UK Wind Industry Body
SAR BoxNight Training Area for Search and Rescue Helicopter Units
SSASector Safety Altitude
SSRSecondary Surveillance Radar
UKABUnited Kingdom Air Prox Board – Investigates Aircraft Near Misses
VFRVisual Flight Rules (Flight without ATC on a see-and-be-seen basis)
VMCVisual Meteorological Conditions (Weather suitable for VFR flight)



Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Carn Fearna Wind Farm V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/065/24 Date: 06/01/25

Appendix C CAA Response





Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Carn Fearna Wind Farm V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/065/24 Date: 06/01/25

OFFICIAL - Named Parties Only. This information is intended for Wind Power Aviaton Consultants Ltd. only

 the lights on these turbines to be capable of being dimmed to 10% of peak intensity when the lowest visibility as measured at suitable points around the wind farm by visibility measuring devices exceeds 5km;

 infra-red lights to MoD specification installed on the nacelles of turbines T01, T02, T03, T04, T05, T06, T07, T08 and T09 (note that dimming permission is applicable only to visible lights, not infra-red lighting).

Intermediate level 32 candela lights are not required to be fitted on the turbine towers.

If the proposed design of the wind farm changes (other than variations due to micrositing etc.) this is likely to require a revision to this aviation obstacle lighting variation.

Yours sincerely,

Awells

Andy Wells Manager Aviation and Wind Turbine Policy

Continued (2 of 2 pages)

OFFICIAL - Named Parties Only



Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Carn Fearna Wind Farm V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/065/24 Date: 06/01/25

Appendix D Lighting Specification





Wind Farm Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix for Carn Fearna Wind Farm V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/065/24 Date: 06/01/25



