

Coille Beith Wind Farm

Technical Appendix 11.1: Aviation Lighting

June 2025





Coille Beith Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix V2.0

Our Reference: WPAC 032/25 Your Reference: Coille Beath EIA

Authors: Commander John Taylor, RN (Ret) Squadron Leader Mike Hale MBE MSc CFS RAF (Ret) Light Modelling, GIS and Mapping – Sam Taylor BA (Hons) MSc

Wind Power Aviation Consultants Ltd, Company No.: 6811887 e-mail: <u>enquiries@wpac.co.uk</u> Tel.: +44 (0)2380767345

www.wpac.co.uk

The information contained within this document (including all graphs, maps, tables, images and photographs) is, and remains, the copyright of Wind Power Aviation Consultants Ltd ©2025, and may not be reproduced by any means, without the explicit written permission of Wind Power Aviation Consultants Ltd.

Coille Beith Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/024/25

Reference Documents

- A. Civil Aviation Publication (CAP) 764 Civil Aviation Authority (CAA) Policy and Guidance on Wind Turbines Version 6, Feb 2016
- B. CAP 764 Version 7 (Draft) issued for comment in June 2020
- C. CAP 764 Version 7 (Draft) issued for comment in April 2024
- D. Air Navigation Order (ANO) Article 222
- E. CAA Policy Statement: Lighting of Onshore Wind Turbine Generators in the United Kingdom with a maximum blade tip height at or in excess of 150m Above Ground Level dated 01/06/17
- F. International Civil Aviation Organisation (ICAO) Annex 14 Vol 1 Chapter 6
- G. MOD Obstruction Lighting Guidance Document 01 Jan 2020
- H. Landscape Institute Guidance on Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment Version 3
- I. NatureScot Guidance on Aviation Lighting Impact Assessment dated November 2024

Scope

1. This report is divided into two parts. Part 1 proposes a lighting design that is compliant with existing and draft (but soon to be ratified) regulations and guidance contained within References A to G as discussed with the CAA and the MOD. It explains the rationale behind the lighting design taking into account the requirement to minimise the number of turbines illuminated with aviation obstruction lights whilst maintaining flight safety and provides a detailed assessment of the brilliance of the lighting when viewed from a number of viewpoints provided by the LVIA consultant after consultation with the relevant stakeholders including NatureScot and the Local Planning Authority. Part 2 of the report identifies and explains those mitigation measures that can be utilised to minimise the environmental effect of the lights including an assessment of the historical meteorological data from which to predict the luminous intensity requirements for the lights. The entire report can be considered to fulfil the requirements for an Aviation Lighting Landscape and Visual Impact Mitigation Plan and can be used to inform the Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment Version 3 (GLVIA3).

Part 1 Turbine Lighting Layout Design

Introduction

2. WPAC have designed a large number of CAA and MOD compliant lighting layouts for wind farms and are also in constant dialogue with the CAA regarding the proposed changes to CAP 764 in terms of aviation lighting requirements. Whilst Reference A is technically the current publication for policy and guidance on this issue, Reference B was released for comment and is already being used by the CAA as the current de facto policy. Reference C is also currently out for consultation with all aviation stakeholders but the proposed release date has passed and the CAA have yet to publish a new release date. Discussions with the CAA have clarified that the draft regulations at Reference B will not be changing in terms of the overarching policy but the wording may be slightly amended in the interests of clarity.



Wind Power Aviation Consultants Ltd Coille Beith Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/024/25

Lighting Layout Starting Point and Assumptions

3. The Applicant is proposing an 11 turbine wind farm in the Scottish Highlands called Coille Beith. The site will be located on the ridge line between the Strath Oykel and Strath Cuileannach rivers on the northern and eastern slopes of the Cnoc nan Ceorach and Carn-na-Bo Maoile peaks. This location is within MOD Low Flying Area (LFA) 14, the more important Tactical Training Area (TTA) 14 and the Highland Restricted Area (HRA). At night this area converts to Night Allocated Region (NAR) 1BE, an area primarily reserved for fast jet low flying in the hours of darkness but is occasionally used by military helicopters.

4. Conversely, the hilly and predominantly remote nature of this part of Scotland dictates that this is not an active civilian/commercial area for fixed wing aircraft in the lower airspace at night. However, much of the Highlands is an active helicopter operating region. Emergency Helicopters operating in the area by day and night will include: Police, HEMS, Air Ambulance, Coast Guard and various military formations down ground level on occasion. Due to the possibility of MOD and civilian helicopters operating in the immediate airspace around the turbines the site will require a comprehensive obstruction lighting arrangement that includes both visible and infrared lights to meet CAA and MOD criteria.

Lighting Assessment Overview

5. From a CAA perspective Coille Beith is sited outside controlled airspace and away from airports and airfields. As a result it will be assessed against visible lighting in accordance with Class G 'en-route' airspace requirements as detailed in CAP 764 (latest draft). The following factors will be taken into account:

- To accommodate MOD requirements the site will be assessed for NVG compatible lighting in accordance with MOD published obstruction lighting specifications.
- Where possible the recommended final lighting configuration will be optimised to reduce light impact on the local area.
- CAA obstruction lighting dispensations that are currently offered where flight safety can be maintained will be included.
- The Coille Beith Proposed Development is for 11 turbines at 200m to tip.



Coille Beith Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/024/25

Date: 23/04/25







CAA-ANO Red 2000/200cd Lighting (In compliance with CAA CAP 764 - Draft)

- 6. In accordance with the CAP 764 (draft) conditions, the CAA requires:
 - That all perimeter turbines be lit unless removing a light will leave a gap of less than 900m total between the remaining lit turbines.
 - That any turbine within 200m of a 'string perimeter' be lit unless the distance between adjacent turbines is less than 900m total. Be: additional spacing dispensation is sometimes available for the above criteria.
 - That any unlit turbine does not exceed a 10° up-slope from adjacent lit turbines.
 - No turbine shall be more than 1800m (1nm) from a lit turbine.

7. Applying these criteria dictates that dictates that 10 turbines of the Coille Beith site would require ANO visible red lighting.



Turbines with 2000/200cd Lights: T1, T2, T4, T5, T6, T7, T8, T9, T10 and T11

Figure 3 Lighting Layout without CAA Dispensations



Wind Power Aviation Consultants Ltd Coille Beith Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/024/25

CAA-ANO Red 2000/200cd Reduced Lighting Proposal

8. The military have operated at low level at night for many decades now using night vision equipment. In more recent times, the last decade or so, more civilian operators have moved to night low level using suitable night vision equipment: night vision goggles (NVGs) etc. Such civilian operators include Coast Guard (CSAR), Police, Helicopter Emergency Medical Services (HEMS) and Air Ambulance.

9. Although, in the past, some night operators would fly at night at low level without night equipment (on carefully pre-planned exercises pre-flown by day) such events have been overtaken by the ever-widening use of night vision equipment. As a result, operators who now night fly without night vision equipment will fly at or above 'safety altitude' when not under the guidance of Air Traffic Control.

10. Aircraft operating at safety altitude or above and depending upon the protocol adopted or phase of flight, the safety altitude used will be 1000ft (300m), 1500ft (450m) or 2000ft (600m) above the local terrain/highest obstacle, this includes the turbine tip heights. Aircraft/helicopters flying as such, will only need enough visible lights to define the wind farm and its size/shape/perimeter.

11. Accordingly, aircraft flying above the Coille Beith turbines at safety altitude or above and/or under ATC control will only require an outline of the Coille Beith site. Such a requirement could be met with three visible red lights on turbines T2, T7 and T11.



Figure 4 CAA-ANO Lighting Arrangement (including CAA dispensations).



Coille Beith Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/024/25

MOD Lighting Requirements

12. Early detection is important especially if the aircraft is manoeuvring hard and the air temperature profile causes the turbines to blend into the background. Suitable lighting is necessary for flight safety.

13. MOD IR lights have been developed to be invisible to the public at large but very detectable to aircrew night vision aids. As such the MOD IR lights can have a wide beam width and flash continuously without disturbing the visible environment.



Figure 5 Wind turbine in context with MOD Low Flying

MOD Infrared Lighting Layout

- 14. The MOD requires:
 - That all 'compound-perimeter' turbines (see blue dotted line) be lit unless removing a light will leave a gap of less than 500m between the remaining perimeter lit turbines. Note: At this site, the CAA string and MOD compound perimeters are notably different.
 - That any dominant turbine, by location or height, be lit. Note: here all turbines are lit.
 - Coille Beith does not meet the MOD small site criteria (red dotted circle). Accordingly, central (non-perimeter) turbines will require lighting.

15. Applying these criteria dictates that all 11 turbines of the Coille Beith site will require IR lighting.

Turbines with IR Lighting: T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, T7, T8, T9, T10 and T11



Coille Beith Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/024/25

Date: 23/04/25



Figure 6 Proposed MOD Infrared Lighting Arrangement



Coille Beith Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/024/25

Date: 23/04/25

		Coill	le Beith Turk	oine Lighting	g Table		
Turbine	Easting	Northing	Tip Ht	Hub Ht	Rotor	CAA ANO	MOD IR
1	240449	898794	200m	114m	172m		600mW/sr
2	239724	898397	200m	114m	172m	2000/200cd	600mW/sr
3	241190	898516	200m	114m	172m		600mW/sr
4	240272	898052	200m	114m	172m		600mW/sr
5	240479	897655	200m	114m	172m		600mW/sr
6	241117	897309	200m	114m	172m		600mW/sr
7	241512	896941	200m	114m	172m	2000/200cd	600mW/sr
8	242181	897379	200m	114m	172m		600mW/sr
9	242675	897758	200m	114m	172m		600mW/sr
10	242409	898238	200m	114m	172m		600mW/sr
11	242324	898648	200m	114m	172m	2000/200cd	600mW/sr

Combined CAA Visible Lighting and MOD Infrared Lighting

Table 1 CAA and MOD Lighting Arrangement



Figure 7 CAA-ANO Visible Red and MOD Infrared Lighting Arrangement



Coille Beith Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/024/25

ANO Light Specifications

16. The ANO 2000/200cd lights will conform to the ICAO specification as set out in Annex 14 Table 6-3. The lights will also be controlled such that when the met visibility is greater than 5km in all directions from all turbine hubs, the lights will be reduced to 200cd (10% of normal power). This reduction in power will not apply to MOD IR Lights.

	Minimum requirements						Rec	commendatio	ons		
Benchmark	Vertical elevation angle (b) Vertical bea				lbeam	Vertical elevation angle (b)			Vertical beam spread (c)		
	0° -1°		spread (c)		0° -1° -10°						
intensity	Minimum average intensity (a)	Minimum intensity (a)	Minimum intensity (a)	Minimum beam spread	Intensity (a)	Maximum intensity (a)	Maximum intensity (a)	Maximum intensity (a)	Maximum beam spread	Intensity (a)	
2000	2000	1500	750	3°	750	2500	1125	75	N/A	N/A	
a) 360° horizo	ntal. All inter	nsities are e	xpressed in	Candela. F	or flashing	lights, the in	tensity is rea	ad into effect	ive intensity,	as determi	ned in accordance w



17. **Low Intensity Mid Mast Lights** – Mid mast lighting was originally intended to give an attitude/range reference (horizon indication) to pilots flying at night in the days before NVGs. Hub and mid mast lights will give a vertical reference (from which a horizontal reference can be gauged) when fitted to a single vertical structure. In contrast, a single light will not be able to give a vertical or horizontal reference or indication of range and range-rate. However, a series of single hub lights, on a group of structures, will provide a good horizon reference together with range and range-rate clues. Accordingly, the requirement for mid-masts lights is much diminished if not made redundant in the case of multiple vertical structures such as wind farms.

18. All of the current commercially available 32cd (supposedly focused) lights are over-engineered (up to 70cd between -30deg and +40deg to fit a multitude of aviation and marine applications) they induce a disproportionately large environmental impact, often significantly more than the focused hub 2000/200cd lights. WPAC proposed to the CAA that the guidance requirement for 32cd (Type B) mid mast lights be removed for Coille Beith. They have agreed that intermediate lighting is not required as reflected in their lighting reduction concession approval letter attached at Appendix C to this report.



Coille Beith Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/024/25

Date: 23/04/25

	Minimum intensity (a)	Maximum intensity (a)	Vertical beam sp (f)	pread
			Minimum beam spread	Intensity
Туре А	10 cd (b)	N/A	10°	5 cd
Туре В	32 cd (b)	N/A	10°	16 cd
Туре С	40 cd (b)	400 cd	12° (d)	20 cd
Type D	200 cd (c)	400 cd	N/A (e)	N/A

Note.— This table does not include recommended horizontal beam spreads. 6.2.1.3 requires 360° coverage around an obstacle. Therefore, the number of lights needed to meet this requirement will depend on the horizontal beam spreads of each light as well as the shape of the obstacle. Thus, with narrower beam spreads, more lights will be required.

Table 3 ICAO Annex 14 Table 6-2 Low Intensity Obstacle Lights.

IR Light Specifications

19. The IR lights will conform to the MOD specification as set out in MOD Lighting Guidance and shown below in Table 4.

MOD Specification IR.

<u>IR wavelength</u> – 750 to 900nm. But ideally concentrated within 800 to 850nm for optimum detection by all military NVG types.

<u>IR intensity</u> – 600mW/sr minimum at peak flash but not above 1200mW/sr. (Note: Typically a 300mW/sr steady burn LED IR light will generate 600mW/sr at peak flash) This will generate a 7-8 nm NVG pick-up range - remaining above 5nm as the light ages.

Horizontal Pattern – unrestricted 360 deg.

 $\underline{Vertical Pattern} - Minimum flash intensity of 600 \ mW/sr between + 30 deg and - 15 deg elevation.$

- up to 50% reduction between +25 to +30 deg and -10 to -15 deg is acceptable.
- Maximum intensity of 1200 mW/sr for all angles of elevation.
- Vertical overspill is acceptable.

Flash Pattern - 60 flashes per min at 100-500 ms duration (ideally 250ms)

Synchronisation - all lights to be visually synchronised across a wind farm site

Table 4 MOD Specification for IR Obstacle Lights

Timings

20. The lights (IR and ANO) will be switched on between Evening Civil Twilight and Morning Civil Twilight in accordance with the UK Almanac; approximately 11 hours per day when averaged over the year.



Assessment of Aviation Lighting and Potential Mitigation Measures Designed into the Lights

21. Having defined a layout of turbines to be fitted with visible lighting, an assessment has been undertaken to calculate the brilliance of the lights when seen from a number of viewpoints. The standard aviation lights to be fitted to the nacelle of the turbines are required to fulfil certain design criteria in terms of brilliance and coverage as per Table 2. They are designated 'medium intensity obstruction lights' and have a **minimum** luminous intensity of 2000 candela¹ at horizontal and slightly above. The LED lights are also required to be able to shine a beam that reduces in intensity above and below the horizontal. One manufacturer of such obstruction lights, CEL, have tested their light, the CEL-WT-MIC² in a calibration chamber and produced results showing precisely how much the beam reduces in brilliance at any specified elevation angle. The results are interpolated to every 0.1°. This light is a finely tuned upgrade to the previous version and has been developed specifically for onshore wind turbine lighting in order to minimise downward light intensity and is now available from the manufacturer.

22. Figure 8 demonstrates the reduction in luminous intensity below the horizontal and also above 1° in elevation. The various coloured lines are the candela measured from different angles in the horizontal in order to measure the performance all around the light.

¹ Candela is the SI Unit of luminous intensity and refers to the amount of light emitted in a particular direction.



² <u>CEL-WT-MIC - rev1.pdf</u>

Coille Beith Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/024/25

Date: 23/04/25



Figure 8 (MI GAM CEL-WT-MIC Light Measurement Results)

23. WPAC have utilised their propagation modelling system (Rview) to calculate the precise angle of elevation between the turbine light and a viewpoint assuming a height of eye of 1.5 metres and a turbine hub height of 114 metres. The system utilises a standard atmospheric model and an earth model that uses actual earth curvature between the turbine light and the viewpoint. Ordnance Survey OS50 DTM is used as the terrain model. The calculations have been undertaken for each designated lit turbine against all designated Coille Beith viewpoints. The locations of the viewpoints are shown in Figure 9 and Table 5.



Coille Beith Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/024/25



Figure 9 Viewpoint Locations

24. The next stage in the process is to take the candela figures radiated towards a viewpoint and taking into account the distance, calculate the lumens per square metre (also known as Lux) that will be experienced by the human eye at the viewpoint. The figure produced is in micro-lumens per square metre or $lumen^{(10-6)}/m^2$) or $lux^{(10-6)}$. These are perfect clear-air figures and therefore worst-case results from an LVIA perspective. Figures obtained by this method enable comparisons to be made with commonly understood light sources such as stars or planets. In practice the light intensity at the observation points will be further attenuated by scatter and absorption by airborne dust, droplets and aerosols in the atmosphere. This attenuation is typically in the order of 10 to 20% but can be as high as 75% at the more distant observation ranges.

25. The results for every lit turbine from all of the viewpoints are shown in the results tables in Appendix A to this report. Viewpoints where lights are obstructed by terrain are shaded in green, when the viewpoint is too close to a turbine to get an accurate assessment it is shaded red. To take into account any limitations within the terrain model we have highlighted in purple any viewpoints where the line of sight is under 10 metres above ground level but above 1.5 metres and should therefore, still be screened by terrain but may be visible within the viewpoint.



Coille Beith Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/024/25

Date: 23/04/25

Viewpoint Number	Viewpoint Name	Position
1	Cul More Summit	NC 16217 11907
2	Canisp Summit	NC 20295 18735
3	Ben More Summit	NC 31837 20056
4	Glas Mheall Mor Summit	NH 07618 85371
5	Bodach Mor	NH 36072 89245
6	Carn Salaceidh	NH 51883 87438
7	Oykel Bridge	NC 38595 00808
8	A837 Strath Oykel	NC 45939 01360
9	A837, Kyle of Sutherland	NH 49860 99751
10	Footpath, Rappach Water	NH 33200 97394
11	A949 Approach to Bonar Bridge	NH 63610 89257
12	Ben Wyvis Summit	NH 46289 68401
13	Diebidale Ridge	NH 43203 83670
14	Summit of Beinn an Eoin	NC 38946 08274
15	Track west of Strath Cuilennach	NH 37235 97593
16	Strath Cuileannach	NH 42059 94813
17	Seana Bhraigh Summit	NH 28178 87879
18	Ben Kilbreck	NC 58517 29881
19	Creag Mhor Summit	NC 69845 24000
20	Oykel Bridge to Glen Einig Footpath	NC 38591 00150
21	A837 at Loch Craggie	NC 32901 05174
22	A836 South of Lairg	NC 58153 03547
23	Summit at Carn a' Choin Deirg	NH 39770 92515
	Minor Road North of the A837 Near the Crofting	
24	Township Area of Altass Table 5 Viewpoints	NC 50151 00316

1

Interpreting the Results

26. The results show that there is a significant decrease in the luminous intensity (candela) of the light emanating towards those viewpoints which are at lower angles of elevation in relation to the turbine hub. However, when considering the perception of the light from a viewpoint in general, the distance between the light and the viewpoint is likely to be the dominant factor and the resultant figure in micro-lux is the most relevant figure to consider. This report provides the results and anticipates that the Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (LVIA) consultants will be able to put them into the correct context for visualisations in terms of background environmental lighting and atmospheric conditions. Table 6 shows the turbine with the greatest potential perceived luminous intensity expressed in micro-lumens per m^2 (Lux⁽¹⁰⁻⁶⁾) at each viewpoint.



Coille Beith Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/024/25

Date: 23/04/25

	T ouch to a			Microlumens at	
Viewpoint	Turbine	Distance (KM)	Microlumens per m2 (lux10-6)	10%	Obscured
1	2	27.11	2.9	0.3	
2	2	28.13	2.a7	0.3	
3	2	23.05	3.6	0.4	
4	2	34.65	1.8	0.2	
5	7	9.42	12.5	1.3	
6	7	14.07	10.8	1.1	
7	2	2.66	10.6	1.1	
8	11	4.52	6.6	0.7	
9	11	7.62	5.6	0.6	
10	2	6.6	5.0	0.5	М
11	11	23.27	3.9	0.4	Х
12	7	28.94	2.5	0.3	
13	7	13.38	11.8	1.2	
14	2	9.91	21.8	2.2	М
15	7	4.33	7.1	0.7	
16	7	2.2	15.5	1.6	
17	7	16.12	7.0	0.7	
18	11	35.18	1.7	0.2	
19	7	39.18	1.4	0.1	
20	2	2.09	17.2	1.7	
21	11	11.46	10.0	1.0	
22	7	17.9	2.7	0.3	
23	7	4.76	28.1	2.8	
24	11	8	8.4	0.8	

Table 6 Brightest Turbine Hub Light from each Viewpoint (measured in micro-lumens)

27. In order to place the values in microlumens per m² (lux¹⁰⁻⁶) in context, Table 7 provides some examples of approximate values placed on a number of environmental comparators, however these are just an illustration to place the results in a real world environment. The actual perceived brightness will depend upon a number of factors including bulb manufacturer, bulb type, specific construction (single/multiple colour LEDs etc) atmospheric conditions, absorption spectrum, individual eye characteristics and capabilities.



Coille Beith Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/024/25

Date: 23/04/25

Comparison Object	Approximate Illuminance (micro-lumens per m ²)
Car Halogen main beam approaching 1km	Up to 1,000,000 (can vary significantly between cars)
International Space Station (400km up)	1000 (depends upon relative position of sun)
Car Brake Light at 0.5km	400
Car Brake Light at 0.7km	200
Car Brake Light at 1.0km	100
Car Brake Light at 2.0km	25
Car Brake Light at 5.0km	4
Car Brake Light at 10km	1
Front Cycle Light at 0.5km	140 (Modern high power white LED)
Front Cycle Light at 0.7km	70
Front Cycle Light at 1.0km	35
Front Cycle Light at 2km	9
Front Cycle Light at 5km	2
White LED Street Light at 0.5km	500 (Viewed from the horizontal)
White LED Street Light at 0.7km	250
White LED Street Light at 1.0km	120
White LED Street Light at 2.0km	30
White LED Street Light at 5.0km	8
Sodium Street Light at 0.5km	300 (Viewed from the horizontal)
Sodium Street Light at 0.7km	150
Sodium Street Light at 1.0km	75
Sodium Street Light at 2.0km	20
Sodium Street Light at 5.0km	5
Brightest Star in the Sky (Sirius)	13
Airliner flying at 30,000ft)	Nav Lights 0.4 to 5; anti-collision lights 2 to 20
Typical bright star (e.g. Orion)	0.5 to 2.0
Faintest light visible from street lit area	0.4
Visible limit for fully dark-adapted eyes	0.02

Table 7 Comparisons of approximate micro-lumens values



Coille Beith Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/024/25

28. If there is a requirement to consider the brightest turbine in terms of emitted candela rather than micro-lumens, Table 8 provides data on which turbine emits the most candela towards each viewpoint but takes no account of the distance between light and viewpoint.

Viewpoint	Turbine	Distance (KM)	Candela	Candela at 10%	Obscured
1	7	29.39	2142	214	
2	7	30.42	2142	214	
3	7	25.06	2006	201	
4	7	35.81	2134	213	
5	7	9.42	1113	111	
6	7	14.07	2142	214	
7	2	2.66	75	8	
8	2	6.88	158	16	
9	11	7.62	324	32	
10	2	6.6	218	22	М
11	11	23.27	2117	212	X
12	7	28.94	2054	205	
13	7	13.38	2117	212	
14	2	9.91	2142	214	М
15	7	4.33	133	13	
16	7	2.2	75	8	
17	7	16.12	1827	183	
18	7	37.07	2142	214	
19	7	39.18	2093	209	
20	2	2.09	75	8	
21	11	11.46	1314	131	
22	7	17.9	858	86	
23	7	4.76	635	64	
24	11	8	535	54	

Table 8 Brightest Turbine Hub Light measured in Candela emitted towards a viewpoint



Coille Beith Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/024/25

Part 2 Mitigation

Intensity Reduction (ANO Lighting: 2000cd down to 200cd)

29. The lights (IR and visible red lights) will be switched on between Evening Civil Twilight and Morning Civil Twilight in accordance with the UK Almanac; approximately 11 hours per day averaged over the year.

30. The primary mitigation consideration in addition to the already described reduction in brilliance due to elevation angle, is taken from Reference D which states:

'If the horizontal meteorological visibility in all directions from every wind turbine generator in a group is more than 5 km, the intensity for the light positioned as close as practicable to the top of the fixed structure required to be fitted to any generator in the windfarm and displayed may be reduced to not less than 10% of the minimum peak intensity specified for a light of this type'.

31. It is therefore possible to take advantage of the CAA SARG Policy Statement dated 01/06/2017 and incorporate the option to reduce the hub height lighting to not less than 10% of the minimum peak intensity specified for the installation in good weather. In essence, reducing the 2000cd obstruction lights to 200cd in meteorological visibilities greater than 5km. Note: This concession is not applicable to MOD specification IR lighting, which is covered separately.

32. It will be necessary to calculate how much time the lights would spend at 2000cd and at 200cd. To assess historical visibility in this Mid Wales region, the closest meteorological stations to Coille Beith are at Inverness and Wick Airport. Note: there are no meteorological stations that publish meaningful historical data closer to Coille Beith. However, although the visibility at these airports will not be identical to Coille Beith they will invariably be in the same air-mass for the majority of the time and will give similar observations over the longer period.



Table 9 Inverness Visibility



Coille Beith Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/024/25

Date: 23/04/25



Table 10 Wick Visibility Table

33. These two Met Office tables show that the visibility is below 5km (light blue bar) for an average of 4% of the time Inverness and 7% of the time at Wick. Averaging and rounding up gives 6%. This suggests that the Coille Beith turbine lights will be at **2000cd for 6% of the time and 200cd for 94% of the time**.

34. In addition, visibility improves with height since the concentration of particles (dust, haze) and liquid droplets (water) reduces with height and the air also becomes thinner. It could be argued that the Coille Beith visibility, on a 300m hill, will be better than that at Inverness or Wick.

Obstruction Light Weather Obscuration.

35. On occasion, the visibility in the area of Coille Beith will reduce significantly due to the presence of cloud on the hills. If the Coille Beith turbines are in cloud, then the obstruction lights will not be seen. The turbines will carry the CAA/ANO lights on the turbine hub. The average height at the base of these turbines is around 700-1150ft above mean sea level (amsl). The hub heights for the proposed turbines will be around 400ft above ground level (agl) giving hub heights averaging around 1100-1550ft amsl. For aeronautical reasons meteorological cloud bases are quoted in feet (ft).

36. It is now possible to compare the average **turbine hub/light** height of **1100-1550ft** amsl with the actual cloud bases recorded by the Met Office at Inverness and Wick and Airport, again, over a 30-year period as shown in Tables 11 and 12.



Coille Beith Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/024/25

Date: 23/04/25



Table 11 Inverness Cloud Base





37. The burgundy columns (600-1000ft) indicate that the cloud base will range from approximately 600-1200ft below turbine hub heights on around 1000 occasions a month. At this distance below the turbine hubs the lights will be completely obscured to the general public. The other columns (light blue in particular 400-500ft) indicate that on a further 400 (totalled and averaged across the two locations) or more occasions a month the lights will be completely obscured by cloud even to those observers on the immediate hills. Note 1: Met office statistics report cloud base in occurrences as opposed to total duration. Note 2: The very low (100ft) reading for Wick is ignored – local coastal fog effect.



38. Again, whilst Inverness and Wick are not Coille Beith, Met Office statistics show that the cloud base reduces in the region of hills. It could be argued that at Coille Beith, located on a 300m hill, the cloud-base would be lower than at Inverness or Wick thus providing even greater degree of light obscuration than calculated here.

Weather Obscuration Conclusion

39. It is most important not to try and combine the two different observations, visibility and cloudbase, into a single statement. <u>Informal advice</u> direct from Met Office and Airport forecasters indicates that the information for Coille Beith, so gathered, should be presented as follows:

Meteorological observations suggest that the turbine hubs will be <u>obscured on more than eight</u><u>hundred occasions a month</u> by cloud.(Obstruction lights not visible to the public)

<u>When not obscured by cloud</u>, the visibility in the area of the turbines can be expected to exceed 5km for up to 94% of the time. (Obstruction lights switched down to 200cd)

Conclusion/Notes

40. The purpose of this Lighting Brief is to identify an obstruction lighting arrangement that will have the minimum effect upon the environment but at the same time is a safe design for night low level operators. This has been accomplished by using a combination of CAA ANO and MOD IR lights.

41. Applying the CAP 764 Draft criteria for visible red obstruction lighting results in a lighting solution that requires ten turbines to carry a visible red ANO light. By applying current CAA dispensations and then matching the lighting to the operators that will require night visible lighting, minimal but aviation safe layout was achieved. This results in a total of:

3 x ANO Red 2000/200cd lights and 11 x MOD IR 600mW/sr lights.

42. Furthermore, the site is in an area where it will benefit from 90% light-dimming in goodweather and obscuration of both turbines and the visible ANO lighting in poor weather. This lighting layout was sent to the CAA for approval. They responded, approving the layout in their lighting dispensation approval letter which is attached at Appendix C.

The lights will be regularly obscured by cloud and when not obscured set at the lower 200cd for approximately 94% of the time.

43. The CAA currently state: *met visibility should be measured at suitable points around the wind farm*. In the case of the Coille Beith turbines: Visibility Meters on **T2**, **T7**, **and T11** will meet this criterion.



Wind Power Aviation Consultants Ltd Coille Beith Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/024/25

Technical Mitigation

44. One other form of potential mitigation commonly discussed is the installation of an Aircraft Detection Lighting System (ADLS). There are two possible methods of detecting an aircraft approaching a wind farm that will automatically turn on the aviation obstruction lights, firstly through the use of a suitable primary surveillance radar (PSR) or secondly, the use of aircraft installed Electronic Conspicuity (EC) equipment with a suitable receiver at the wind farm. There are some significant technical and regulatory issues to be overcome before any such system can be installed and operated in the UK.

45. In the case of PSR, this is already in use at wind farms in Europe; as an example the Terma Scanter 5002 radar is installed at a number of sites as shown in Figure 10. The main regulatory constraint is that although such systems are in use in Europe, in the UK, where airspace tends to be shared to a much greater extent between users, the CAA have yet to mandate the performance parameters that such a system must be capable of fulfilling. For example, the coverage requirement will need to be defined in terms of maximum range of detection and activation (which may vary depending upon the speed of the aircraft), base of cover (above ground level) and almost certainly a maximum height coverage to avoid unnecessary activations, which a PSR on its own cannot ascertain. An initial set of draft requirements was promulgated in 2018 but these were for discussion with aviation stakeholders and the wind industry and it cannot be assumed that these are going to be the final criteria. Even if the standards are defined, it may be that any single radar will not be capable of delivering the required coverage where, for example, a wind farm is located on a hill and aircraft may approach below the wind farm from any direction. It may then become necessary to install multiple radars in order to achieve the required coverage at low level. This in itself may lead to limitations due to mutual interference in what is already a crowded part of the electro-magnetic spectrum, (although the Terma radar does have some anti-interference capabilities) but the additional radars may affect other systems working in the same frequency band. There would also be additional planning issues to consider, such as the visual impact of additional aerials, and rotating arrays. Technical constraints also mean that it will be necessary to position the radars some distance outside the windfarm as shown in the example below in order to avoid turbines screening the radar and to provide the required height coverage.



Figure 10 Terma 5002 Radar at a Wind Farm in Germany

46. The one major advantage of PSR is that it will detect any aircraft, both those transponding and those that are not, known as non-co-operative targets. Depending upon how the regulatory process



moves forwards, this may have a major effect on which systems to use for ADLS. In response to a recent planning inquiry paper the CAA responded stating in a letter dated 21 April 2021: For the UK, there are some challenges to be resolved. The cost/benefit of the use of primary surveillance radar for the active detection of aircraft, spectrum availability, incentive pricing cost and geographical separation required before frequencies can be re-used potentially makes this a less than optimal solution.

47. The alternate system is one based upon a reliance on aircraft carried Electronic Conspicuity (EC) transponders. Currently light aircraft flying clear of regulated airspace in the UK below 10,000ft are not required to carry a transponder (one example being Secondary Surveillance Radar or SSR). Most aircraft do, but not all. The CAA has been encouraging fitment by all aircraft and hope to have a regulatory system in place within the next few years requiring all flying machines to be fitted. Unfortunately this is not a simple process. This issue has been running for at least 20 years so far, however some limited progress is now being made. In the same response to a recent planning inquiry paper the CAA stated: 'At the same time, the lack of interoperability between the wide variety of electronic conspicuity devices currently available may require careful consideration of the specification of any passive system receivers and how they are deemed compliant to be deployed and operated. ' The letter goes on to state: 'We concur that not every situation may require ADLS to be fitted and operated; Article 222 or 223 requirements of the Air Navigation Order will remain, and the CAA may agree a specific solution under Section 7 of Article 222 and Section 11 of Article 223. However, ADLS could potentially provide an acceptable means of compliance that could provide greater certainty for developers when developing planning proposals on CAA acceptance and assist with discussions with communities during planning consultation.' What this letter is saying is that ADSL using EC is technically feasible but that until the regulatory actions concerning the mandatory carriage of a compatible EC system have been completed and signed into law, and the coverage requirements agreed, uncertainty remains unless a planning condition to require the retrospective installation of a system is considered appropriate. The length of time that this is likely to take is difficult to estimate, however, realistically it is likely to be within a two to five year timeframe as it is a small part of a much wider airspace modernisation programme currently under way. Additionally, the CAA also issued a Guidance Notice dated 26/10/21 entitled: 'Electronic continuity specifications: enabling interoperability between airspace users'. This announced the establishment of a task force to jointly develop electronic conspicuity specifications to enable interoperability between airspace users. It goes on to state: 'The adoption of EC specifications will not be mandated UK wide. Users of other systems can continue to benefit from the functionality that those products offer'. This does not mean that an EC triggered ADLS system will not be feasible, but the regulatory challenges mentioned above may take longer to resolve, a position reinforced by the Scottish Government recently in relation to the Narachan Wind Farm decision where Ministers stated the following: 'The Scottish Ministers have carefully considered the option of imposing a suspensive condition to secure the installation of an ADLS, prior to construction of the proposed Development, but do not find that the evidence provided to date on the matter affords sufficient assurance that either method would be capable of being installed on the proposed Development within the next 5 years. As such, taking account of the resulting uncertainty on timescales for the deployment of the proposed Development if it were to be consented, the Scottish Ministers consider it would not be appropriate in this case to impose the suspensive condition proposed by the Reporter to mitigate the effects of the proposed Development's lighting on the SQs of the North Arran NSA.'

48. What is clear is that when ADLS is finally mandated by the CAA, the carriage of compatible transponders is also mandated and all aircraft fitted with them, this is likely to be a realistic way of



Coille Beith Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/024/25

triggering an ADLS system. Such systems are passive at the wind farm and will not, therefore cause any interference. As shown in Figure 11 they require unobtrusive small aerials, approximately 1.2 metres long that are very reliable and relatively inexpensive to install and operate.



Figure 11 ADSB/SSR Passive Aerial

49. Bearing the above in mind, it might be prudent to ensure that lighting installed on the turbines is compatible with any future EC triggered ADSL system, so that when the regulatory process and aircraft equipage has been completed, it will be a relatively cheap and simple exercise to retro-fit such a system. Alternately, the ADSB/SSR aerials and system could be installed when the wind farm is constructed, ready for activation when required. Unfortunately, it is still not certain that the regulatory process and equipage issues will be completed within the lifetime of a planning consent.

50. An ADLS system may not be suitable for every location, depending upon the nature of aviation operations at night in the area around the wind farm and the activation criteria that are finally mandated by the CAA. If located close to the approach for a major airport for example, the lights might be required to be turning on and off continuously but this is very unlikely to be the case at Coille Beith. The EC activated ADLS system will be able to differentiate between civil traffic and SAR/HEMS/military traffic using NVD and not therefore activate when these types of aviation operations are taking place within the activation zone for the system. The infrared lights that these types of operations rely on will always be on at night, but of course are invisible to the naked eye and will have no effect on the visual impact of the development.



Wind Power Aviation Consultants Ltd Coille Beith Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/024/25

Comment

51. In recent months various briefing documents have been in circulation suggesting that visible obstruction lights are not required in the current aviation environment. The CAA have briefed WPAC that they do not support this position and would consider prosecuting organisations that do not follow the existing guidance and regulations. However, change will come; this will be led by the CAA and be centred on the new draft CAP 764 (as adhered to in this report) and the future development of ADLS.

52. From the direct experience of WPAC staff who have over 40 years of day/night low flying over land and sea both with and without NVG/Ds, the inclusion of adequate visible red lighting is important to cater for both routine operations and the inevitable unplanned outcome. Pilots uncertain of their location together with emergency situations and system failures of critical night low flying equipment are circumstances that require a degree of visible obstruction lighting on large wind turbines.

53. In addition, future green energy aircraft (hydrogen/electric and battery powered) will fly considerably lower and slower than current aircraft and will be significantly limited in track variation by much shorter ranges. Good visible obstruction lighting will become more, not less important in this low direct flight environment.

54. Finally, an aircraft colliding with a wind turbine is thankfully an extremely rare event but one with enormous potential consequences. A standard risk assessment as part of an aviation safety case would conclude that even a very low probability of a significant dangerous event is still unacceptable and must be mitigated, in this case by the fitting of visible obstruction lights.

Conclusion

55. This report has assessed the requirements for both visible CAA approved aviation lighting and MOD approved Infrared lighting for the Coille Beith wind farm. The resulting layout is set out in Figures 4 and 6 and makes use of both CAA/ANO Red lights and MOD IR lights. The proposed layouts were sent to the CAA and MOD DIO for approval. The MOD will approve the IR lighting layout as there is no concession required and the CAA have approved the proposal and responded, their concession letter is attached at Appendix C.

56. The report also provides the brilliance of lights that will be visible taking into account the elevation angle between the turbine hub obstruction light and the viewpoints and the distance between each turbine and each viewpoint. The report shows that for up to 94% of the time the lights will only be required to operate at 10% luminous intensity, which will significantly reduce obstruction light effects in the area. Further interpretation of these results can be undertaken by a Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment expert.

57. The report then identifies additional mitigation options that, should the regulatory process allow, enable the visible medium intensity turbine lights to be switched off for the vast majority of the time and activated only on those occasions when an aircraft activates the system.



Wind Power Aviation Consultants Ltd Coille Beith Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/024/25

Authors

Cdr John Taylor RN (Ret) – after a career in the Royal Navy specialising in Air Traffic Control (ATC), Airspace Management and Air Defence which culminated in leading both the ATC and Fighter Control Specialisations, John worked for Lockheed Martin UK for three years as a Principal Consultant and Business Area Manager responsible for Air Traffic Management Consultancy, including the provision of advice to wind farm developers. In 2008 he founded WPAC Ltd and since then he and his team have provided aviation advice in relation to over 2000 wind farm and wind turbine sites, given evidence at a number of planning inquiries and enabled many sites to overcome aviation objections where it was feasible to do so. He and his team have also provided advice to a number of Local Planning Authorities, Renewable UK and the Aviation Fund Management Board, including organising workshops and the provision of guidance documents. John also advises planners and developers in relation to physical and technical safeguarding of non-wind farm developments in the vicinity of aviation facilities.

Sqn Ldr Mike Hale RAF (Rtd) has over 45 years, piloting, instructing and examining experience on numerous military fast jet aircraft through to a range of civilian and military general aviation training aircraft and gliders. He has held many posts including Flying Instructor, Training Officer, Flight Commander, Squadron Commander and Principal Tornado AD Force Examiner. He has amassed over 10,000 flying hours of experience when operating at many locations around the world. In parallel to his flying duties, Mike held the post of Officer Commanding the MOD Low Flying Operations Squadron (OC LFOS). In this post he was both Low Level Airspace Manager for the MOD & Wind-Farm Subject Matter Expert for the Defence Infrastructure Organization (DIO). During that period, he assessed over 14,000 wind-farm pre-applications and 2000 full applications against low flying, weapons range, specialist airspace, local community and aerodrome safeguarding criteria. Mike also instigated two Qinetiq ground based Infra Red obstruction lighting trials. These were followed by instigating and managing the MOD Infra Red/Low Intensity (Henlow) flight trials and the CAA/MOD/Trinity-House/RUK off-shore IR/Morse (North Hoyle) flight trials. In conjunction, Mike organised numerous and various supporting trials including night vision equipment compatibility and detailed lighting beam overspill analysis (where light is emitted outside the required specification envelope). In 2012, he was awarded an MBE for generating a proactive and mutually successful working relationship between the Wind Power Industry and the MOD Air Staff.



Cdr John Taylor RN (Ret) Managing Director Wind Power Aviation Consultants Ltd <u>www.wpac.co.uk</u>

Sqn Ldr Mike Hale MBE MSc CFS RAF (Retd) Aviation Consultant for WPAC Ltd



Coille Beith Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/024/25

Date: 23/04/25

A	Appendix A Lighting Results Tables											
	Turbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux10-6)	Microlumens per square metre (lux ¹⁰⁻ ⁶) at 10%	Obscured				
	2	27.11	0.8	2117	212	2.9	0.3					
	7	29.39	0.6	2142	214	2.5	0.3					
	11	29.28	0.9	2092	209	2.4	0.2					

Viewpoint 1 Cul More Summit

Turbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux10-6)	Microlumens per square metre (lux ¹⁰⁻ ⁶) at 10%	Obscured
2	28.13	0.7	2134	213	2.7	0.3	
7	30.42	0.6	2142	214	2.3	0.2	
11	29.81	0.9	2092	209	2.4	0.2	

Viewpoint 2	Canisp Summit
-------------	---------------

Turbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux10-6)	Microlumens per square metre (lux ¹⁰⁻ ⁶) at 10%	Obscured
2	23.05	1.3	1895	190	3.6	0.4	
7	25.06	1.1	2006	201	3.2	0.3	
11	23.84	1.5	1743	174	3.1	0.3	

Viewpoint 3 Ben More Summit

Turbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux10-6)	Microlumens per square metre (lux ¹⁰⁻ ⁶) at 10%	Obscured
2	34.65	0.8	2117	212	1.8	0.2	
7	35.81	0.7	2134	213	1.7	0.2	
11	37.16	1.5	1743	174	1.3	0.1	Х

Viewpoint 4 Glas Mheall Mor Summit

Turbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux10-6)	Microlumens per square metre (lux ¹⁰⁻ ⁶) at 10%	Obscured
2	9.85	2.2	900	90	9.3	0.9	
7	9.42	2.0	1113	111	12.5	1.3	
11	11.29	2.5	689	69	5.4	0.5	

Viewpoint 5 Bodach Mor

Turbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux10-6)	Microlumens per square metre (lux ¹⁰⁻ ⁶) at 10%	Obscured
2	16.37	0.7	2134	213	8.0	0.8	
7	14.07	0.6	2142	214	10.8	1.1	
11	14.73	4.2	209	21	1.0	0.1	X

Viewpoint 6 Carn Salaceidh



Coille Beith Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/024/25

Date: 23/04/25

Turbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux10-6)	Microlumens per square metre (lux ¹⁰⁻ ⁶) at 10%	Obscured
2	2.66	-8.5	75	8	10.6	1.1	
7	4.84	-4.2	122	12	5.2	0.5	X
11	4.31	-3.5	158	16	8.5	0.9	Х

Viewpoint 7 Oykel Bridge

Turbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux10-6)	Microlumens per square metre (lux ¹⁰⁻ ⁶) at 10%	Obscured
2	6.88	-3.5	158	16	3.3	0.3	
7	6.26	-4.3	122	12	3.1	0.3	
11	4.52	-3.9	136	14	6.6	0.7	

Viewpoint 8 A837 Strath Oykel

Turbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux10-6)	Microlumens per square metre (lux ¹⁰⁻ ⁶) at 10%	Obscured
2	10.23	-2.4	324	32	3.1	0.3	
7	8.81	-1.8	488	49	6.3	0.6	Х
11	7.62	-2.3	324	32	5.6	0.6	

Viewpoint 9 A837, Kyle of Sutherland

Turbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux10-6)	Microlumens per square metre (lux ¹⁰⁻ ⁶) at 10%	Obscured
2	6.6	-2.9	218	22	5.0	0.5	М
7	8.32	-1.4	706	71	10.2	1.0	X
11	9.21	0.7	2142	214	25.3	2.5	Х

Viewpoint 10 Footpath, Rappach Water

Turbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux10-6)	Microlumens per square metre (lux ¹⁰⁻ ⁶) at 10%	Obscured
2	25.58	0.8	2117	212	3.2	0.3	Х
7	23.4	-0.3	1867	187	3.4	0.3	X
11	23.27	0.8	2117	212	3.9	0.4	Х

Viewpoint 11 A949 Approach to Bonar Bridge

Turbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux10-6)	Microlumens per square metre (lux ¹⁰⁻ ⁶) at 10%	Obscured
2	30.71	1.0	2054	205	2.2	0.2	
7	28.94	1.0	2054	205	2.5	0.3	
11	30.51	3.5	324	32	0.4	0.0	X

Viewpoint 12 Ben Wyvis Summit



Coille Beith Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/024/25

Date: 23/04/25

Turbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux10-6)	Microlumens per square metre (lux ¹⁰⁻ ⁶) at 10%	Obscured
2	15.13	0.9	2092	209	9.1	0.9	М
7	13.38	0.8	2117	212	11.8	1.2	
11	15	3.0	464	46	2.1	0.2	Х

Viewpoint 13 Diebidale Ridge

Turbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux10-6)	Microlumens per square metre (lux ¹⁰⁻ ⁶) at 10%	Obscured
2	9.91	0.6	2142	214	21.8	2.2	М
7	11.62	0.3	2117	212	15.7	1.6	М
11	10.2	1.2	1955	195	18.8	1.9	М

Viewpoint 14 Summit of Beinn an Eoin

Turbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux10-6)	Microlumens per square metre (lux ¹⁰⁻ ⁶) at 10%	Obscured
2	2.62	-5.3	89	9	13.0	1.3	М
7	4.33	-4.0	133	13	7.1	0.7	
11	5.2	0.5	2142	214	79.3	7.9	X

Viewpoint 15 Track west of Strath Cuilennach

Turbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela	Candela at 10%	Microlumens per square metre (lux10-6)	Microlumens per square metre (lux ¹⁰⁻ ⁶) at 10%	Obscured
2	4.28	-2.0	409	41	22.3	2.2	Х
7	2.2	-8.7	75	8	15.5	1.6	
11	3.84	2.2	900	90	60.9	6.1	Х

Viewpoint 16 Strath Cuileannach

ſurbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela		square metre (lux10-	Microlumens per square metre (lux ¹⁰⁻⁶) at 10%	Obscured
2	15.62	1.6	1643	164	6.7	0.7	
7	16.12	1.4	1827	183	7.0	0.7	
11	17.78	1.8	1390	139	4.4	0.4	

Viewpoint 17 Seana Bhraigh Summit

2 36.67 0.7 2134 213 1.6 0.2 7 37.07 0.6 2142 214 1.6 0.2 11 35.18 0.9 2092 209 1.7 0.2	Turbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela		square metre (lux10-	Microlumens per square metre (lux ¹⁰⁻⁶) at 10%	Obscured
	2	36.67	0.7	2134	213	1.6	0.2	
11 35.18 0.9 2092 209 1.7 0.2	7	37.07	0.6	2142	214	1.6	0.2	
	11	35.18	0.9	2092	209	1.7	0.2	

Viewpoint 18 Ben Kilbreck



Coille Beith Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/024/25

Date: 23/04/25

Furbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela		square metre (lux10-		Obscured
2	39.53	0.3	2117	212	1.4	0.1	М
7	39.18	0.2	2093	209	1.4	0.1	
11	37.42	0.5	2142	214	1.5	0.2	М

Viewpoint 19 Creag Mhor Summit

Turbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela		square metre (lux10-	Microlumens per square metre (lux ¹⁰⁻⁶) at 10%	Obscured
2	2.09	-11.0	75	8	17.2	1.7	
7	4.34	-3.9	136	14	7.2	0.7	Х
11	4.02	-1.8	488	49	30.1	3.0	х

Viewpoint 20 Oykel Bridge to Glen Einig Footpath

Turbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela		square metre (lux10-		Obscured
2	9.62	-1.7	535	54	5.8	0.6	
7	11.91	-1.6	584	58	4.1	0.4	
11	11.46	-0.9	1314	131	10.0	1.0	

Viewpoint 21 A837 at Loch Craggie

Turbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela		square metre (lux10-	Microlumens per square metre (lux ¹⁰⁻⁶) at 10%	Obscured
2	. 19.14	-1.0	1055	106	2.9	0.3	х
7	17.9	-1.3	858	86	2.7	0.3	
11	16.57	-0.7	1451	145	5.3	0.5	Х

Viewpoint 22 A836 South of Lairg

urbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela		square metre (lux10-		Obscured
2	5.88	2.6	635	64	18.4	1.8	
7	4.76	2.6	635	64	28.1	2.8	
11	6.64	3.2	399	40	9.0	0.9	

Viewpoint 23 Summit at Carn a' Choin Deirg



Coille Beith Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/024/25

Date: 23/04/25

Turbine	Distance (KM)	Elevation Angle	Candela		square metre (lux10-	Microlumens per square metre (lux ¹⁰⁻⁶) at 10%	Obscured
2	10.6	-1.9	448	45	4.0	0.4	
7	9.28	-2.4	304	30	3.5	0.4	
11	. 8	-1.7	535	54	8.4	0.8	

Viewpoint 24 Minor Road North of the A837 Near the Crofting Township Area of Altass



Coille Beith Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/024/25

Appendix B – Abbreviations and Definitions

A DER Automatic Dependent Surveillance Broadcast
ADSBAutomatic Dependent Surveillance Broadcast AGLAbove Ground Level (Height)
ANOAir Na vigation Order
AMSLAlr Na vigation Order AMSLAbove Mean Sea Level (Elevation)
ASGAviation Steering Group
CAACivil Aviation Authority
CAPCivil Aviation Publication (Referrers to Specific Documents)
cdCandela, a measure of light intensity
DIODefence Infrastructure Organisation
HNTAHelicopter Night Training Area
In Flight VisibilityThe distance a pilot can see ahead to fly & navigate the aircraft
IRInfrared
KtsKnots: a measure of airspeed (10 kts = 12mph = 19 kph)
LEDLight Emitting Diode
MODMin istry of Defence
mW/srmilliWatts per steradian: electromagnetic energy output related to solid angle
NmNautical Mile
NVDNight Vision Devices - Aircraft Mounted
NVGNight Vision Goggles - Operator Worn
Radar AltimeterAn altimeter that uses radar to accurately measure height above ground
QFESetting on Altimeter that gives Height above Airfield
RoARRules of the Air Regulations
Rule 5The Low Flying Rule – part of RoAR
Rule 28VFR Rules Outside Controlled Airspace – part of the RoAR
ReUKRenewables UK – The UK Wind Industry Body
SAR BoxNight Training Area for Search and Rescue Helicopter Units
SSASect or Safety Altitude
SSRSecondary Surveillance Radar
UKABUnited Kingdom Air Prox Board – Investigates Aircraft Near Misses
VFRVisual Flight Rules (Flight without ATC on a see-and-be-seen basis)
VMCVisual Meteorological Conditions (Weather suitable for VFR flight)



Coille Beith Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/024/25

Date: 23/04/25

Appendix C CAA Response





Date: 23/04/25

OFFICIAL - Named Parties Only. This information is intended for Wind Power Aviaton Consultants Ltd. only

 the lights on these turbines to be capable of being dimmed to 10% of peak intensity when the lowest visibility as measured at suitable points around the wind farm by visibility measuring devices exceeds 5km;

 infra-red lights to MoD specification installed on the nacelles of turbines T01, T02, T03, T04, T05, T06, T07, T08, T09, T10 and T11 (note that dimming permission is applicable only to visible lights, not infra-red lighting).

Intermediate level 32 candela lights are not required to be fitted on the turbine towers.

 If the proposed design of the wind farm changes (other than variations due to micrositing etc.) this is likely to require a revision to this aviation obstacle lighting variation.

Vours sincerely



Manager Aviation and Wind Turbine Policy

Continued (2 of 2 pages)

OFFICIAL - Named Parties Only



Coille Beith Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/024/25

Date: 23/04/25

Appendix D CEL-WT-MIC Specification





Coille Beith Aviation Lighting and Mitigation Technical Appendix V2.0 Our Ref: WPAC/024/25

Date: 23/04/25



